

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 23-Aug-2011	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY AFGHANISTAN DISTRICT SOUTH (AES) US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS APO AE 09355		CODE W5J9LE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)		CODE
			See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W5J9LE-11-R-0059	
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 12-Jun-2011	
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Project O&M10-0088 Fuel Tanks for Shorabak Contract Specialist Raymond R. Greenheck raymond.r.greenheck@usace.army.mil The purpose of this amendment is to extend the due date for proposals to 2 Sep 2011, and adjust the evaluation factors and items as shown on the next page.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 23-Aug-2011

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0001

The due date for proposals is hereby extended to 2 Sep 2011, 2:00PM Local Time.

The following paragraphs in section 00113 have been changed as indicated, new wording is underlined and replaced wording is crossed out.

Paragraph:

1.1 (1) is now 51% or greater share of wonership of the business entity belongs to one or more Afghan naionals.

2.1 POC for questions is now raymond.r.greenheck@usace.army.mil

2.2 POC for submission of offers is now raymond.r.greenheck@usace.army.mil

3.2 Evaluation criteria is now rated as "Acceptable" or "Unacceptable".

5.1.2.1 Evaluation criteria is now rated as "Acceptable" or "Unacceptable".

5.1.3.1 Evaluation criteria is now rated as "Acceptable" or "Unacceptable".

5.1.4.1 Evaluation criteria is now rated as "Acceptable" or "Unacceptable". Evaluation criteria is now: Documentation of satisfactory performance for each project within the last two years used to meet the criteria must be submitted to meet requirement of this criterion and will be rated as a "Acceptable".

5.2.3 (1) is now 51% or greater share of wonership of the business entity belongs to one or more Afghan naionals.

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 30-Jul-2011 02:00 PM to 02-Sep-2011 02:00 PM.

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

SECTION 00113

**PROCEDURES FOR SUBMITTAL OF OFFERS
AND
PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA**

1.0 GENERAL

1.1 AFGHAN FIRST REQUIREMENT

This project is being procured under the Afghan First program. Any Afghan contractor which wishes to be eligible for award under the Afghanistan Engineer District-South (AES) Afghan First program must demonstrate to AES its eligibility to receive an Afghan first contract award.

A firm must satisfy the requirements below to be considered eligible for consideration under the Afghan First program. The following documentation must be provided with the proposal submission showing:

- (1) That a 51% ~~50.1%~~ or greater share of ownership of the business entity belongs to one or more Afghan nationals.
- (2) That the business entity holds a current “D” (Domestic) or “I” (International) class business license issued by the Afghan Investment Support Agency (AISA) of the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan.
- (3) That the business operates from a physical address in Afghanistan.

OFFERORS FAILING TO SATISFY THE “AFGHAN FIRST” ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS CITED ABOVE WILL BE REMOVED FROM ANY FURTHER CONSIDERATION FOR AWARD

1.2 BASIS AND INTENT

The intent of this Request for Proposal (solicitation) is to select one (1) contractor for the design-build (DB) of four (4) new 100,000 liter (26,400 gal) diesel fuel tanks within the existing fuel containment area at the Afghan National Army (ANA) Camp Shorabak power plant, Lashkar Gah district, Helmand province.

The basis of award is Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA).

The Contracting Officer will award a fixed price contract to the successful Offeror whom the Source Selection Authority determines conforms to the solicitation and is technically acceptable, is fair and reasonable, and offers the lowest price to the Government. The contract will NOT be awarded solely on the basis of lowest price.

1.3 DEFINITIONS

When the word 'Offeror' is encountered throughout Section 00113, it is intended to mean a company seeking to do business with the Government that submits a proposal in response to this solicitation.

A proposal is documentation prepared by the Offeror and submitted to the Government for evaluation purposes in response to this solicitation.

When the word 'Government' is encountered throughout this Section, it is intended to mean US Army Corps of Engineers Afghanistan District - South (AES).

2.0 SUBMITTALS

As this is a competitive negotiation acquisition, there is no public bid opening and no information given out as to the number of Offerors or the results of the competition until all awards are made.

2.1 GENERAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Offerors submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals so that a minimum of time and monies will have been expended in preparing information required herein. However, in order to be effectively and equitably evaluated, the proposals must include information sufficiently detailed to clearly describe the Offeror's capabilities to successfully complete the project.

Proposals should follow in the order of sequence set forth in the solicitation. Information provided out of sequence may not be evaluated and may result in the Offeror's disqualification from award. Attached forms shall be used. Offeror's alternate forms are not acceptable. Requirements stated in this solicitation are minimums.

Submissions are to be in English. All proposals must be received by the closing date and time identified in Block #13 of the SF1442 (unless amended) in order to be considered for award.

All questions and inquiries shall be submitted in writing by email to raymond.r.greenheck@usace.army.mil jefferey.a.ball@usace.army.mil or tas.contracting@usace.army.mil. Questions and inquiries to this solicitation must be received by this office not later than seven (7) calendar days prior to the due date of proposals. Questions received less than seven (7) days prior to the due date of proposals will not be addressed.

Proposals may be withdrawn by written or electronic (as email) notice at any time before award.

2.2 SUBMISSION ADDRESS

Proposals may only be submitted via softcopy (as email attachments). No other forms of submission will be accepted. Softcopy/electronic proposals will be submitted to the following email address:

raymond.r.greenheck@usace.army.mil jefferey.a.ball@usace.army.mil. A courtesy copy will be sent to TAS.Contracting@usace.army.mil

Proposals should list the solicitation number in the subject line of the email(s).

2.3 SITE VISIT

There will be no site visit for this project. See site assessment (Appendices) for site information.

2.4 SUBMITTAL FORMAT (ELECTRONIC)

2.4.1 FORMAT

Offerors are required to submit a proposal made up of the following two sections: Volume I - Technical Proposal and Volume II - Price Proposal. All proposal materials shall be submitted with a table of contents. The sections should parallel the submission requirements identified in the below paragraphs. Each page of the each section shall be numbered sequentially.

Submissions are to be in English.

3.0 PROPOSAL EVALUATION PROCESS

A Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB), comprised of representatives of the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), user/customer, and other required personnel, will evaluate the proposals. Offerors are advised that the technical evaluation and rating of proposals will be conducted in strict confidence so that the Technical and Performance Capability parts of the proposals are reviewed and rated without knowledge of the price offered.

The number and identities of the Offerors are not revealed to anyone who is not involved in the evaluation and award process or to other Offerors.

Proposals will be evaluated based on the factors described herein, and the basis of award is Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) method; therefore, award will be made on the basis of the lowest evaluated price of proposals meeting or exceeding the acceptability standards for non-cost factors.

The evaluation process essentially consists of three (3) parts:

1. Proposal Compliance Review – Responsibility Determination
2. Technical and Performance Capability Evaluation
3. Price and Pro Forma Information Evaluation

3.1 PROPOSAL COMPLIANCE REVIEW - RESPONSIBILITY DETERMINATION

This is an initial review to ensure that all required forms and certifications are complete and that both a technical and price proposal were received. **Incomplete submissions WILL NOT be evaluated further.**

3.2 TECHNICAL & PERFORMANCE CAPABILITY EVALUATION

The SSEB will evaluate and rate those proposals passing the first review, above. Proposals will be evaluated against the Solicitation requirements. Factors will be rated either "Acceptable" or "Unacceptable" ~~'Go'~~ or ~~'No-Go'~~. If a proposal is determined "Unacceptable" a ~~'No-Go'~~, further evaluation by the SSEB is not warranted.

3.3 PRICE & PRO FORMA INFORMATION EVALUATION

The SSEB will evaluate price proposals independent of the technical/quality evaluation. The SSEB will not have access to price information until completion of the technical/quality evaluation.

4.0 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS & INSTRUCTIONS

Offerors are required to submit a proposal made up of a Technical and Performance Capability proposal (Volume I) and a Price proposal (Volume II). All proposal materials shall be submitted in two (2) PDF files ('Volume I' and 'Volume II') with a table of contents and continuous page numbering for each volume.

A PROJECT SCHEDULE shall be included with the Technical and Performance Capability materials as a separate attachment.

The proposal shall not mix the contents of Volume I and II; each volume shall be a separate electronic file.

The sections should parallel the submission requirements identified below. Failure to place the required submission information under the appropriate tab may result in a lower rating if the evaluators cannot readily find the appropriate information.

There is a limit of 50 pages total for the entire package using a minimum font size of 11 and a minimum margin size of 13 mm (1/2") on all sides. **Information submitted which exceeds the specified limit will not be evaluated.** Page size to be based on A4 (210 mm x 300 mm) or 8-1/2" x 11" letter size, and must be readily formatted for printing on a standard printer.

The Project Schedule size shall be based on a larger sheet (maximum size A3 (300 mm x 420 mm or 11" x 17")) and included in Volume I in the PDF package.

Submissions are to be in English.

Format restrictions will be strictly adhered to and enforced.

5.0 PROPOSAL INFORMATION & RELATED EVALUATION FACTORS

Proposals will be evaluated (in English) in accordance with the evaluation factors. Offerors are reminded to include their best technical and price terms in their initial offer and not to automatically assume that they will have an opportunity to participate in discussions or be asked to submit a revised offer. The Government may make award of a conforming proposal without discussions if deemed to be within the best interests of the Government.

Volume I – Technical and Performance Capability:

Factor 1	Experience
Factor 2	Personnel
Factor 3	Past Performance

Volume II – Price and Pro Forma Information:

Tab A	Standard Form 1442
Tab B	Section 00010, Proposal Bid Schedule
Tab C	Afghan First Documentation (AISA “D” or “I” License)
Tab D	Joint Venture Agreement (if applicable)

Additional information provided beyond the required documentation may not be evaluated.

5.1.1 VOLUME I – TECHNICAL & PERFORMANCE CAPABILITY

5.1.2 FACTOR 1 – EXPERIENCE SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

The Government will evaluate the offeror’s prior experience as either a prime contractor or sub-contractor. AT LEAST ONE (1) submission shall demonstrate experience as a prime contractor.

Each offeror shall complete a minimum of two (2), but no more than three (3), project ‘Contractor Experience’ form(s), attached at the end of this solicitation (Appendices: Form A1), for each project submitted. All blocks must be filled-in and all data must be accurate, current and complete. Requirements for experience are:

- A. When claiming Prime Contractor Experience, a Prime Contractor must have self-performed, on site at least 25% of the direct contract labor, exclusive of other general condition or field overhead personnel, material, equipment, design or subcontractors for projects submitted to demonstrate its experience.

- B. EACH project provided to demonstrate experience must have an awarded contract value of over \$250,000.00 (USD).
- C. AT LEAST ONE (1) of the projects used to demonstrate experience must have been constructed in Afghanistan.
- D. AT LEAST ONE (1) of the projects used to demonstrate experience must have been completed within the last 5 years from the date of this solicitation.
- E. AT LEAST ONE (1) of the projects submitted must demonstrate experience with AT LEAST ONE (1) of the following construction facilities, features, or activities:
 - 1. Electrical Power Plants
 - 2. Vehicle Refueling Points
 - 3. Aircraft Refueling Points
 - 4. Bulk Fuel Storage Facilities
- F. Individual task order (TO) of a Multiple Award Task Order Contract (MATOC) may be submitted as a single project to demonstrate experience. Combining contract values of individual task orders of a MATOC is not allowed.

NOTE: The Prime Contractor is defined as the contractor identified in Block 14 of the Standard Form 1442. If more than one contractor is listed in Block 14, then a signed joint venture must be submitted with the proposal. For United States contractors, the joint venture shall be registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR).

5.1.2.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The SSEB will evaluate experience submitted. Offerors must meet all the above experience requirements to receive an “Acceptable” ~~“Go”~~ rating. Proposals that do not include substantial evidence that the Offeror has experience, qualifications, and production capability to successfully construct the proposed project will be considered to not meet the minimum requirements of the solicitation and will be rated “Unacceptable” a ~~“No-Go.”~~ Substantial evidence is defined as documenting experience in the above experience requirements.

5.1.3 FACTOR 2 – PERSONNEL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Provide resumes for EACH of the following key personnel (note, key personnel resumes shall not exceed two pages per key personnel):

- 1. Overall Project Manager
- 2. Construction Superintendent
- 3. Quality Control Manager
- 4. Design Engineer (for the Design Engineer, in addition to the resume, also provide a completed ‘Personnel Experience Form’ (Appendices: Form A2)

The Overall Project Manager, Construction Superintendent, and Quality Control Manager shall have:

1. Documentation identifying each person is a current full-time employee of the Prime Contractor or a letter of intent signifying their employment for this project.
2. Minimum of five (5) years of relevant experience in their assigned job position.

The Design Engineer shall have:

1. Documentation identifying each person is a current full-time employee of either the Prime Contractor or sub-consultant or a letter of intent signifying their employment for this project.
2. An engineering degree from a 4-year college.
3. Minimum five (5) years of engineering experience.

Resumes for the key personnel must include the information requested on the ‘Personnel Resume-Experience’ form attached at the end of this solicitation, (Appendices: Form A2). All information must be filled in and all data should be accurate, current, and complete. Key personnel resumes shall not exceed two pages per key personnel.

NOTES: A Senior Design Engineer may be a sub-consultant to the Contractor, but shall be required to provide a Personnel Resume and letter of intent, from the Senior Design Engineer’s firm, stating the named individual will be retained for the project should the Contractor be awarded the contract. A sub-consultant Design Engineer shall meet all requirements for the Senior Design Engineer.

Identification of two (2) individuals proposed for a single position will result in the evaluation of only the least qualified person. A single individual cannot be identified a ‘key personnel’ for more than one (1) ‘key personnel’ position.

Identified personnel must be used on the project. Any substitution of identified persons will not be permitted without prior approval of the Contracting Officer.

5.1.3.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The SSEB will evaluate the resumes of the key personnel for compliance with requirements. The key personnel must meet all of the following to receive an “Acceptable” a-‘Go’ rating:

1. A resume for EACH of the four key personnel.
2. A completed ‘Personnel Experience Form’ (Appendices: Form A2) for the Design Engineer.
3. The Overall Project Manager, Construction Superintendent, and Quality Control Manager must have a minimum of five (5) years of relevant experience in their assigned job position.
4. The Design Engineer must have a 4-year college degree in engineering and a minimum of five (5) years of engineering experience.

Failure to meet standards under this factor will result in an “Unacceptable” ‘No-Go’ rating.

5.1.4 FACTOR 3 – PAST PERFORMANCE SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

A completed 'Past Performance Questionnaire' (attached at the end of this solicitation, (Appendices: Form A3) is required for AT LEAST ONE (1) of the 'Project Experience' forms submitted. **The 'Past Performance Questionnaire' MUST BE SUBMITTED BY THE POINT OF CONTACT (POC) from the Point of Contact's listed email address, and MUST NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE OFFEROR'S PROPOSAL PACKAGE.**

Since compliance by POCs is not ensured, the Offeror is encouraged to have more than one 'Past Performance Questionnaire' per project.

All blocks must be filled in and all data must be accurate, current, and complete. Where multiple POCs are used for a single project, provide an additional "Reference Point of Contact (POC)" section for each additional reference and attach to the associated 'Project Experience' form. Reference Points of Contact may include: The USACE COR for the project, the owner's representative, the primary facility user familiar with the project, or someone whom the Offeror was under the direction of. For projects where the Offeror was not a prime, the prime contractor who the Offeror worked under may be used as a POC. Additional references shall NOT be equipment and material suppliers and dealers or sub-contractors or persons not associated with the project. Provided reference information must match project names, contract numbers, project locations, owner's name, points of contact (POC), telephone numbers, and email addresses.

The Offeror may provide in the package additional ratings, letters of recommendation, commendations, and awards on projects which demonstrate past construction performance. However, documents that can be verified (i.e. a performance review sent by a POC) will have greater weight in the evaluation process. Additional materials in the proposal are included in the total submittal page count.

5.1.4.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The SSEB will evaluate successful past performance identified in this solicitation in response to information provided. Documentation of satisfactory past performance for each project within the last two years used to meet criteria must be submitted to meet requirement of this criterion and will be rated "Acceptable" a-~~Go.~~ Offerors that do not demonstrate satisfactory past performance for each project used to meet criteria will be considered not to have met requirement of this criterion and will be rated "Unacceptable" a-~~No-Go.~~ The Government reserves the right to check any or all cited references to verify supplied information and to assess owner satisfaction.

If a Project Reference 'Point of Contact' is not provided in the 'Contractor Experience' form, then the minimum requirement of this criterion will not have been met and the associated project will not be evaluated.

5.2 VOLUME II – PRICE & PRO FORMA INFORMATION

5.2.1 TAB A, STANDARD FORM 1442 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

The Offeror shall submit Standard Form 1442. Form is included in Section 00010 of this solicitation.

5.2.1.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Standard form 1442 is to be completed and duly executed with an original signature by an official authorized to bind the company in accordance with FAR 4.102.

5.2.2 TAB B, SECTION 00010, PROPOSAL BID SCHEDULE SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

The Offeror shall complete and submit in its entirety Section 00010, Proposal Bid Schedule. This form is included in Section 00010 of this solicitation.

5.2.2.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The price (Proposal Bid Schedule) will be evaluated, independent of the technical evaluation, for fairness, completeness and for reasonableness by price analysis. The price (Proposal Bid Schedule) may also be evaluated to determine if it is properly balanced.

5.2.3 TAB C, AFGHAN FIRST REQUIREMENTS

The following documentation must be provided with the proposal submission showing:

- (1) That a 51% ~~50.1%~~ or greater share of ownership of the business entity belongs to one or more Afghan nationals.
- (2) Provide a copy of current “D” (Domestic) or “I” (International) class business license issued by the Afghan Investment Support Agency (AISA) of the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan.
- (3) That the business operates from a physical address in Afghanistan.

5.2.3.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

A firm must satisfy the requirements in 5.2.3 to be considered eligible for consideration under the Afghan First program. **OFFERORS FAILING TO SATISFY THE “AFGHAN FIRST” ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS CITED ABOVE WILL BE REMOVED FROM ANY FURTHER CONSIDERATION FOR AWARD.**

5.2.4 TAB D, JOINT VENTURE AGREEMENT (IF APPLICABLE)

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), include a copy of the JV Agreement. If a JV Agreement has not yet been finalized / approved, indicate its status. The JV Agreement will not count towards the 50-page limit.

5.2.4.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

JV Agreements shall clearly indicate the percentages of the JV participants, in particular the percent of the controlling party, a clear delineation of responsibilities and authorities between the JV parties, and provide that each party is jointly and severally liable for the performance of all contract requirements.

5.2.4.2 CERTIFICATIONS AND REPRESENTATIONS

Each offeror shall complete (fill-in and signatures) the solicitation sections indicated below using the file (without modification to the file) provided with the solicitation. An authorized official of the firm shall sign the Standard Form 33 (SF 33) and all certifications requiring original signature. An Acrobat PDF file shall be created to capture the signatures for submission.

- Standard Form 33 (SF 33), Solicitation, Offer and Award
- Contract Administration Data
- Representations, Certifications and Other Statements of Offerors

5.3 SOURCE SELECTION DECISION

The Source Selection Authority (SSA) will make an independent source selection decision using the findings presented by the SSEB. The SSA is not necessarily bound by the evaluation findings of the SSEB and reserves the right to review all available resources such as CPARS, CCASS, ACASS, PPIMS, Duns and Bradstreet, etc. to establish the overall acceptability of an offer using price and non-price factors prior to making award.

– END OF SECTION –

(End of Summary of Changes)