

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 10-Sep-2011	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
6. ISSUED BY AFGHANISTAN DISTRICT SOUTH (AES) US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS APO AE 09355		CODE W5J9LE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W5J9LE-11-R-0083
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 18-Aug-2011
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)				
1. Kajaki Dam Irrigation Intake Structure and Piezometer Repair. 2. The purpose of this amendment is to answer bidder inquiry questions. Purpose is to also provide additional information (findings) that was obtained from a Dive Inspection that was conducted August 2011. Proposal due date is not extended and remains 17 September 2011 at 4:00pm local time. 3. POC is Mark Jones at mark.t.jones@usace.army.mil.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
			TEL:	EMAIL:
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		10-Sep-2011

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

## SUMMARY OF CHANGES

## SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The following have been modified:

SECTION 00113

## SECTION 00113

## PROCEDURES FOR SUBMITTAL OF OFFERS AND PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

**1.0 GENERAL****1.1 BASIS AND INTENT**

The intent of this Request for Proposal (SOLICITATION W5J9LE-11-R-0083) is to select one contractor for the design and construction of the intake structure and piezometers at the Kajaki Dam, Helmand Province, Afghanistan.

The basis of award is lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA). This award will be made on the basis of the lowest evaluated price of the proposals meeting or exceeding the acceptability standards for **the 3 non-cost factors (experience, personnel and past performance)**. The Contracting Officer will award a firm fixed price contract to the responsible offeror whom the SSA determines conforms to the Request for Proposals and is technically acceptable, is fair and reasonable, and offers the lowest price to the Government.

**2.0 STANDARDS FOR NON-COST FACTORS. SUBMITTALS****2.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS****2.2 GENERAL**

Offerors submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals so that a minimum of time and monies will have been expended in preparing information required herein. However, in order to be effectively and equitably evaluated, the proposals must include information sufficiently detailed to clearly describe the offeror's capabilities to successfully complete the project. Proposals should follow in the order of sequence set forth in the SOLICITATION. Information provided out of sequence may not be evaluated and may result in the offeror's disqualification from award. Requirements stated in this SOLICITATION are minimums.

**BIDDER INQUIRIES**

Electronic (as email) inquiries to this solicitation must be received by this office not later than ten (8) calendar days prior to the due date of proposals. Questions received less than eight days prior to the due date of proposals will not be entertained. Submit bidder inquiries to [mark.t.jones@usace.army.mil](mailto:mark.t.jones@usace.army.mil) and [tas.contracting@usace.army.mil](mailto:tas.contracting@usace.army.mil).

Proposals may be withdrawn by written or electronic (as email) notice at any time before award.

**2.3 SUBMISSION ADDRESS**

**Proposals can be submitted by mail, FedEx/DHL/UPS or delivered in person.**

**Electronic submission of proposals will not be accepted.**

**Proposals submitted by FedEx/DHL/UPS shall be sent to:**

Mark Jones  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
Kandahar, Afghanistan  
USACE – AES  
APO, AE 09355

**Proposals submitted by mail shall be sent to:** Mark Jones  
USACE-AES  
APO AE 09355

**Bids delivered in person:** Proposals can be delivered in person to FOB Lindsey. Contractors that choose this method of submitting their proposals that have a Kandahar Air Field (KAF) badge can access the base without an escort and deliver their proposal to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Castle 1 Building. Contractors shall contact **Mr. Job Sayago at 079-986-3287** (roshan), or via email at [job.sayago@usace.army.mil](mailto:job.sayago@usace.army.mil) prior to arrival to coordinate delivery of their proposals since building access is restricted.

If the Contractor does not have a KAF badge, they shall contact Mr. Job Sayago and he will meet the individual at the FOB Lindsey front gate to pick up the proposal.

**DIRECTIONS TO FOB LINDSEY FROM KAF**

**Departing ECP 5 heading east from KAF; turn right.**  
**Follow the hard surface road straight thru the market (approximately ½ k).**  
**Bear left after the market staying on the hard surface road.**  
**Then turn right to go over the bridge.**  
**(The ANA Camp Hero will be directly in front of the bridge).**  
**Turn left after the bridge and the entrance to Camp Lindsey will be directly in front.**

Transit time is approximately 15 minutes to the front gate at FOB Lindsey.

**2.4 SUBMITTAL FORMAT**

Offerors are required to submit a proposal made up of the following two sections (Volumes): Technical Proposal and a Price Proposal. All proposal materials shall be submitted with a table of contents. The sections should parallel the submission requirements identified in the below paragraphs.

*Each page of the Technical Section shall be numbered sequentially.*

Each proposal section (Volume) shall not **exceed 50 pages** using a minimum font size of 11 and a minimum margin size of one half inch on all sides. Format restrictions and page limitations will be strictly adhered to and enforced. Information submitted which exceeds the specified limit will not be evaluated.

**2.5 SITE VISIT/PREPROPOSAL EVALUATION PROCESS**

There will be no site visit or pre-proposal conference for this project. See site assessment report (Appendix C) for site information.

### **3.0 PROPOSAL EVALUATION PROCESS**

A Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB) comprised of representatives of the Corps of Engineers, and other user/client required personnel, will evaluate the proposals. Offerors are advised that the technical evaluation and rating of proposals will be conducted in strict confidence in that technical/quality proposals are reviewed and rated without knowledge of the price offered. The number and identities of offerors are not revealed to anyone who is not involved in the evaluation and award process or to other offerors. Proposals will be evaluated based on the factors described herein, and the basis of award is Lowest Price Technically Acceptable.

#### **3.1 PROPOSAL COMPLIANCE REVIEW**

This is an initial review to ensure that all required forms and certifications are complete and that both a technical and price proposal were received that address all requirements of the solicitation. Separate from this review, the Government will conduct a responsibility determination for the successful offeror prior to any award.

#### **3.2 TECHNICAL/QUALITY EVALUATION**

The SSEB will evaluate each responsive proposal. Proposals will be evaluation against the SOLICITATION requirements. Factors will be rated either 'Acceptable or 'Unacceptable'. If a proposal is determined "Unacceptable," further evaluation by the SSEB is not warranted.

#### **3.3 PRICE EVALUATION**

The assigned Contract Specialist will evaluate the price proposals independent of the technical evaluation. The SSEB will not have access to price information until completion of the technical/quality evaluation.

### **4.0 PROPOSAL INFORMATION AND RELATED EVALUATION FACTORS**

Proposals will be evaluated (in English) in accordance with the evaluation factors. Offerors are reminded to include their best technical and price terms in their initial offer and not to automatically assume that they will have an opportunity to participate in discussions or be asked to submit a revised offer. The Government intends on making award without discussions. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions as determined necessary by the Contracting Officer.

#### Volume I - Technical:

- Factor 1 Experience
- Factor 2 Personnel
- Factor 3 Past Performance

#### Volume II - Price:

- Tab A Standard Form 1442
- Tab B Section 00010, Proposal Bid Schedule
- Tab C Joint Venture Agreement (if applicable)
- Tab D Reqs & Certs (Section 00600)

#### **4.1 VOLUME 1 - TECHNICAL AND PERFORMANCE CAPABILITY**

#### 4.1.1 FACTOR 1-EXPERIENCE

##### 4.1.1.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

The Government will evaluate the offeror's prior experience as a Prime Contractor. The offeror shall submit a minimum of two (2), but no more than five (5) 'Prime Contractor Experience' forms attached to the end of this section. The forms shall be used to provide descriptions of projects which show PRIME CONTRACTOR experience. **Experience as a Sub-Contractor or of a Sub-Contractor will be considered as meeting the above requirement for Experience for subfactor "e" in the list below.** The Contractor is not constrained to only using the "Prime Contractor Experience" forms. Additional information can be provided with the "Prime Contractor Experience" form to ensure that all evaluation criteria are specifically and adequately addressed for FACTOR 1.

- a. All projects submitted must currently be substantially complete (75% or more) or have been completed within the last five years;
- b. On all of the projects submitted, the Prime Contractor must have self-performed, on site, at least 25% of the direct contract labor, exclusive of other general condition or field overhead personnel, material, equipment, or subcontractors.
- c. At least one (1) of the projects provided must be for the U.S. Government or NATO, with work located in Afghanistan or Iraq.
- d. At least one (1) of the projects provided must have an Award Value of greater than \$5,000,000.00. Contractors cannot combine separate contracts or task orders to meet the \$5,000,000.00 requirement;
- e. At least one (1) of the projects provided must be a design/build related to hydraulic intake structures with gantry cranes, piezometers and other dam safety instrumentations. It is acceptable for the Prime Contractor to use a project performed as a subcontractor or by a subcontractor to demonstrate experience for this subfactor.

One project can be used to satisfy multiple features or activities. Each offer is required to submit at least two (2) but not more than five (5) 'Prime Contractor Experience' forms. Regardless of the number of forms submitted (not to exceed 5), the offeror must demonstrate all of the above features/activities (items a through e).

Failure to show evidence with ALL the above experience/activities will render the proposal technically unacceptable under this factor.

An IDIQ contract may be submitted only if a single task order could be considered similar to this project. Task orders may not be combined in order to satisfy the features/activities delineated above.

##### 4.1.1.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

"Acceptable" Rating:

The SSEB will evaluate experience submitted per Section 4.1.1.1. The proposal must clearly meet the minimum requirements identified in Section 4.1.1.1 to receive an "Acceptable" rating.

"Unacceptable" Rating

Proposals that do not clearly meet the minimum acceptable requirements identified in Section 4.1.1.1 will receive an "Unacceptable" rating.

#### 4.1.2 FACTOR 2-PERSONNEL

##### 4.1.2.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Provide resumes for the following key personnel:

- a. Project Manager (Overall Manager of the Project)

- b. Construction Superintendent
- c. Quality Control Manager
- d. Senior Mechanical Engineer
- e. Senior Civil/Geotechnical Engineer

Project Manager, Construction Superintendent and Quality Control Manager shall have:

- a. Minimum of 5 years of relevant experience in their assigned job position;
- b. Provide documentation identifying each person is a current full-time employee of the Prime Contractor or a letter of intent signifying their employment for this project, and
- c. 4-year college degree from an accredited university;
- d. The Project Manager shall have an Construction Management or Engineering Degree.

The Senior Mechanical and Civil/Geotechnical Engineer shall have:

- a. Minimum 10 years experience;
- b. Licensed or accredited professional engineer with an active professional registration in their home of record (HOR); if the HOR country does not possess a professional registration practice, than 15 years of experience is the minimum.
- c. Provide documentation identifying each person is a current full-time employee of either the Prime Contractor or sub-contractor or a letter of intent signifying their employment for this project, and;
- d. 4-year College graduate with Bachelor of Science or Engineering Degree in their field of study from an accredited university.

Resumes must include the information on "Personnel Resume/Experience" form attached at the end of this section. The Contractor may submit its own self generated resume providing it adequately addresses all the required information contained on the "Personnel Resume/Experience" form. All information must be filled in and all data should be accurate, current, and complete.

Failure to satisfy items a through e above will render the proposal technically unacceptable under this factor.

Failure to provide current, accurate, and verifiable data will render the resume as unacceptable.

The identified personnel must be used on the project. Any substitution of these persons will not be permitted without prior approval of the Contracting Officer. Identification of two individuals proposed for a single position will result in the evaluation of the least-qualified person.

The offeror must provide documentation identifying each person as a current full-time employee of the Prime Contractor or a Letter of Intent signifying their employment for this project. Documentation of full-time

employment can be provided by a current paystub, employee hire form, or an affidavit signed by the Prime Contractor CEO, president, or owner attesting to the key person's employment status.

#### **4.1.2.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA**

“Acceptable” Rating:

The SSEB will evaluate experience submitted per Section 4.1.1.1. The proposal must clearly meet the minimum requirements identified in Section 4.1.1.1 to receive an “Acceptable” rating.

“Unacceptable” Rating

Proposals that do not clearly meet the minimum acceptable requirements identified in Section 4.1.1.1 will receive an “Unacceptable” rating. ■

#### **4.1.3 FACTOR 3- PAST PERFORMANCE**

##### **4.1.3.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

The offeror shall provide past performance information in one of two formats for each project provided under 4.1.1 Factor 1 - Experience.

(1) Copies of Contractor Performance Assessment Reports (CPARs – also commonly referred to as CCASS reports) for projects performed for the U.S. Government. If the project provided has a CPAR, it must be used by the offeror to demonstrate past performance. If CPAR submission is used to validate past performance, it will be the most recent evaluation in the system (i.e. for projects submitted as completed, the final 100% completed CPAR will be provided). If the offeror submits a CPAR, they are not required to submit a separate Past Performance Questionnaire for the specific project.

(2) If CPAR information is not available for a project provided for experience, a completed Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ), attached at the end of this section (Form A-3) must be provided per the following guidance.

- a. The respondent must be familiar with the project, but not affiliated with the offeror.
- b. The respondent must be able to provide an independent evaluation of the offeror's performance on the referenced project.
- c. The completed PPQ must be returned to the Government directly by the respondent to the email address identified in the Due Date & POC block of the PPQ. Completed PPQs submitted directly by the offeror or included in the offeror's proposal will not be evaluated.

It is the offeror's responsibility to ensure the Government will be able to contact the POCs using the contact information provided. Offerors are encouraged to send their request to the POC as soon as possible once a project is identified for experience under Factor 1.

##### **4.1.3.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA**

“Acceptable” Rating

- a. Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror's performance record is unknown. (See note below.)

“Unacceptable” Rating

- a. Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has no reasonable expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.

**Note:** In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR 15.305 (a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, “unknown” shall be considered “acceptable.”

If the CPAR is used, the Government reserves the right to check the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) to verify the accuracy of the CPAR submitted. CPARs submitted by the offeror which do not match those in the system, or for which there is a more current CPAR available, may cause the offeror to receive a “NO-GO” for this factor.

The Government reserves the right to use past performance information obtained from sources other than those identified by the offeror.

The Government may not obtain information from any or all of the listed contract references and/or may not contact all of the identified POCs

## **4.2 OVERALL TECHNICAL ACCEPTABILITY**

If a proposal is found to be technically unacceptable in any one of the three evaluated areas (experience, personnel, past performance), this will render the proposal as technically unacceptable overall, and the offer will be removed from further consideration for award.

## **4.3 VOLUME II PRICE**

### **4.3.1 Tab A, Standard form 1442**

#### **4.3.1.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

Submit original via email or in a separate sealed envelope if hand-carried. The offeror shall submit Standard Form 1442. This form is included in Section 00010 of this SOLICITATION.

#### **4.3.1.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Standard form 1442 is to be completed and duly executed with an original signature by an official authorized to bind the company in accordance with FAR 4.102.

### **4.3.2 Tab B: PROPOSAL BID SCHEDULE**

#### **4.3.2.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

The Offeror shall complete and submit in its entirety the Proposal Bid Schedule. This form is included in Section 00010 of the SOLICITATION. The offeror shall propose prices for each of the proposal bid schedule elements resulting in a cumulative lump-sum price for the project.

#### **4.3.2.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA**

The price will be evaluated for reasonableness, fairness, and completeness and may undergo a price analysis. The price may also be evaluated to determine if it is properly balanced.

### **4.3.3 TAB C: JOINT VENTURE AGREEMENT (IF APPLICABLE)**

#### **4.3.3.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

If the offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), include a copy of the JV Agreement. If a JV Agreement has not yet been finalized/approved, indicate its status. JV Agreement shall clearly indicate the percentages of the JV participants, in particular the percent of the controlling party, a clear delineation of responsibilities and authorities between the JV parties, and provide that each party is jointly and severally liable for the performance of all contract requirements.

The Government will not evaluate the capability of any Offerors that are not included in the Joint Venture Agreement. The Joint Venture must be translated into English, if the original agreement is in a language other than English.

Joint ventures shall submit the following additional documentation regarding their business entities:

a. A copy of their Joint Venture Agreement translated into English, if the original agreement is in a language other than English.

b. A Detailed statement outlining the following, in terms of percentages, where appropriate.

(1) The relationship of the Joint Venture parties, in terms of business ownership, capital contribution, and profit distribution or loss sharing.

(2) The management approach of the Joint Venture in terms of who will conduct, direct, supervise and control the project and have custody and control of the assets of the Joint Venture and perform the duties necessary to complete the work.

(3) The structure of the Joint Venture and decision-ranking responsibilities of the Joint Venture parties, in terms of who will control the manner and method of performance of the work.

(4) The bonding responsibilities of the Joint Venture parties.

(5) Identification of the key personnel having authority to legally bind the Joint Venture to subcontractors and state who will provide or contract for the labor and materials for the Joint Venture.

(6) Identification of party maintaining the Joint Venture bank accounts for payment of all expenses, deposits of all receipts, keeping the books and records, and payment for applicable taxes for the Joint Venture.

(7) Identification of party furnishing the facilities, such as office supplies and telephone service.

(8) Identification of the party having overall control of the Joint Venture.

Other sections of the proposal shall identify, where appropriate, whether key personnel are employees of the individual Joint Venture parties, identifying the party, or as hired employees of the Joint Venture.

If one of the Joint Venture parties possesses relevant experience and/or past performance, the experience and/or past performance of that firm will be considered as the experience and/or past performance of the Joint Venture.

A complete and legally binding document with all the information required under this section titled "Joint Ventures" shall be included.

#### **4.4 SOURCE SELECTION DECISION**

The Source Selection Authority (SSA) will make a final and independent source selection decision using the findings presented by the SSEB. The SSA is not necessarily bound by the evaluation findings of the SSEB and reserves the right to review other resources such as CPARS, CCASS, ACASS, PPIRS, Dun & Bradstreet, etc. to establish the overall acceptability of an offer using price and non-price factors prior to making award.



**A1 CONTRACTOR EXPERIENCE FORM**

1. Project name and location (City, State, Country)	
2. Project owners name (Government Agency, commercial firm or other organization)	
3. Project owners complete address	
4. Were you the Prime Contractor?    YES    NO  Percentage of work that was self-performed? _____%	
5. Contract number of project	6. Date of contract
7. Date work began	8. Completion Dates: Initial: _____ Actual: _____
9. Project Completion Percentage (%)	
8. Contract Value at Time of Award	9. Final invoiced amount (or amount invoiced to date)
10a. English speaking Technical point of contact for the Project Owner (name, title, e-mail address, phone number)	10b. English speaking Contracting point of contact of the Project Owner (name, title, e-mail, phone number)
11. Description of Construction contract work -describe DETAILED nature and scope of work. Detail how project demonstrates experience requirements in Section 00113, Paragraph 4.1.1.1. Also include explanation of any performance problems or other conflicts with the customer. (Offerors will be evaluated for the ability to provide timely, complete work; be certain to explain any differences between the initial and actual completion dates in block 8.) Use continuation sheet for additional information, if necessary.	

12. Current status of the project (check one)

- Work continuing, on schedule
- Work continuing, behind schedule
- Work completed, no further action pending
- Work completed, routine administrative action pending
- Work completed, claims negotiation pending/underway
- Work completed, litigation pending/underway
- Terminated for convenience
- Terminated for default
- Other (Explain, use additional sheets as necessary)

**PERSONNEL RESUME/EXPERIENCE FORM**

Name and Title \_\_\_\_\_

Name of your firm \_\_\_\_\_

No. of years: Presently with this firm \_\_\_\_\_ With other firms \_\_\_\_\_

No. of years in field of work: \_\_\_\_\_

Education (School/Degree(s)/Year/Specialization):  
\_\_\_\_\_

Registration/Accreditation: \_\_\_\_\_ YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO\*

License No. \_\_\_\_\_ Country/State \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_

\*Note: If the HOR country does not possess a professional registration practice, the key personnel resume must demonstrate a minimum of 15 years of relevant experience in their assigned job position.

Your Assignment on this project  
\_\_\_\_\_

Your specific experience and qualifications relevant to this project. Include a POC with phone number for the two most recent projects described:

Project Name and Location: \_\_\_\_\_

General Scope of Project:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Your Role in the Project and a Description of the Duties You Performed:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Owner's POC for reference (name and phone number):  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



## FORM A-3

## Past Performance Questionnaire

Contractor Name:	
Project Title:	
Contract Number and Location:	
Period of Performance:	
Approximate Dollar Value:	
Name, Title, Email Address Of Person Completing This Evaluation	
Brief Description of Project	
Due Date & POC	<b>Past Performance Questionnaire due NLT 17 SEP 2011 at 1600 (4pm)Local (Kandahar) time. Email: <a href="mailto:mark.t.jones@usace.army.mil">mark.t.jones@usace.army.mil</a> &amp; <a href="mailto:Tas.contracting@usace.army.mil">Tas.contracting@usace.army.mil</a></b>

## 1. Overall, how would you rate the quality of work provided?

- Outstanding                       Marginal  
 Good                                       Unacceptable  
 Satisfactory

## 2. Overall, how would you rate the timeliness of the work performed?

- Outstanding                       Marginal  
 Good                                       Unacceptable  
 Satisfactory

## 3. How would you rate the cost effectiveness of work performed?

- Outstanding                       Marginal  
 Good                                       Unacceptable  
 Satisfactory

## 4. How would you rate performance providing a safe working environment?

- Outstanding                       Marginal  
 Good                                       Unacceptable  
 Satisfactory

## 5. How would you rate overall cooperation of the contractor?

- Outstanding                       Marginal

- Good  Unacceptable  
 Satisfactory

6. How would you rate overall commitment to customer satisfaction?

- Outstanding  Marginal  
 Good  Unacceptable  
 Satisfactory

7. If you had the opportunity would you hire or work with this contractor again?

- Yes  No

8. Additional Comments (Please continue on a separate page if necessary):

## SECTION 00700 - CONTRACT CLAUSES

The following have been added by full text:

252.217-7028 OVER AND ABOVE WORK. (DEC 1991)

(a) "Definitions."

As used in this clause --

(1) "Over and above work" means work discovered during the course of performing overhaul, maintenance, and repair efforts that is --

(i) Within the general scope of the contract;

(ii) Not covered by the line item(s) for the basic work under the contract; and

(iii) Necessary in order to satisfactorily complete the contract.

(2) "Work request" means a document prepared by the Contractor which describes over and above work being proposed.

(b) The Contractor and Administrative Contracting Officer shall mutually agree to procedures for Government administration and Contractor performance of over and above work requests. If the parties cannot agree upon the procedures, the Administrative Contracting Officer has the unilateral right to direct the over and above work procedures to be followed. These procedures shall, as a minimum, cover --

(1) The format, content, and submission of work requests by the Contractor. Work requests shall contain data on the type of discrepancy disclosed, the specific location of the discrepancy, and the estimated labor hours and material required to correct the discrepancy. Data shall be sufficient to satisfy contract requirements and obtain the authorization of the Contracting Officer to perform the proposed work;

(2) Government review, verification, and authorization of the work; and

(3) Proposal pricing, submission, negotiation, and definitization.

(c) Upon discovery of the need for over and above work, the Contractor shall prepare and furnish to the Government a work request in accordance with the agreed-to procedures.

(d) The Government shall --

(1) Promptly review the work request;

(2) Verify that the proposed work is required and not covered under the basic contract line item(s);

(3) Verify that the proposed corrective action is appropriate; and

(4) Authorize over and above work as necessary.

(e) The Contractor shall promptly submit to the Contracting Officer, a proposal for the over and above work. The Government and Contractor will then negotiate a settlement for the over and above work. Contract modifications will be executed to definitize all over and above work.

(f) Failure to agree on the price of over and above work shall be a dispute within the meaning of the Disputes clause of this contract.

(End of clause)

## SECTION 00800 - SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS

The following have been added by full text:

### BIDDER INQUIRY Q & A

#### **Amendment 0002 Bidder Inquiry Questions and Answers**

1. The instrumentation is described in a USAID report prepared in August 2006. Is that report available?

**Answer: The report is available and is provided by Amendment 0002.**

2. Sect 010140-4, 1.2.1.b states the Contractor shall provide 35%, 65% and 100% drawings, yet in later sections (i.e., Sect 01335, ¶ 1.2.1) it discusses only providing 65%, 99% and 100% drawings. Have the 35% DRAWINGS already been prepared? If so are they available before the proposal is due?

**Answer: 35% drawings are not prepared or available. The intent of the 35% design submittal was the submission of inspection reports and informational drawings.**

3. Sect 010140-4, ¶ 1.2.3.2 discusses uprating the crane from 75 tons to 100 tons (we are assuming because the underwater valve is stuck) and an inspection of the existing structural and reinforcement will be part of providing a larger crane. Since this can be risky, can we propose an alternative approach (e.g., provide a lighter or better working valve)?

**Answer: An alternative approach will not be accepted to uprating the crane from 75 tons to 100 tons. The “valves” are bulkheads and they are currently in the “up” position and stored on the intake tower itself above the water elevation.**

4. Sect 010140-5, ¶ 1.3 states the Contractor will be required to prepare informational drawings where existing drawings have been lost. If possible, please provide a better explanation of this requirement.

**Answer: See SECTION 01 01 50.00 TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS Paragraph 1.11.2.2. Site Inspection, states “A list of required drawings is provided. Further Appendix lists drawings that are referenced in the original set but have been lost. The later drawings may be required and or information verified by the Contractor.”**

**The Contractor shall prepare drawings necessary for the design and construction of the project. The intent is not for the Contractor to create drawings to replace all missing informational drawings that are referenced, just drawings that are required for the design effort.**

5. Sect 010150-2, ¶ 1.6.1.f states “the Contractor’s engineer must perform an independent review of the design documents and the O&M manual as part of this contract.” Can we get a copy of the Government’s review of these?

**Answer: The design manual is included in Appendix C. The O&M manual is provided by Amendment 0002.**

6. Sect 010150-2, 1.6.2.a is open ended. Can the USACE provide more information on the existing instrumentation?

**Answer: All available information has been provided.**

7. Sect 010150-7, 1.11.1.5 details the requirements for a site inspection relating to the crane structure, welds, rails, and paint, etc. It asks for a report and recommendations. Please verify that this is solely a report of recommendations with the suggested work being performed under another contract.

**Answer: The inspection report referenced is intended to verify conditions that could not otherwise be confirmed. Any requirements for construction made in the report that go above and beyond rehabilitation/repair specified in the RFP will have to be remedied by a modification to the existing contract or performed by a follow-on contract.**

8. Sect 010150-8, 1.11.2 is electrical. Are all necessary drawings included in Appendix A?

**Answer: All drawings that are available have been provided. Some drawings are missing because they no longer exist.**

9. Sect 010150-9, 1.11.2.3.1 requires load and voltage drop studies. Can the USACE provide more info on this?

**Answer: No additional information is available.**

10. Sect 010150-2, 1.11.3.1.1. Can the USACE provide its written historical review of the operations and original design which supports its belief the intake structure is capable of withstanding the original load?

**Answer: The requested review is documented in Appendix D of the RFP.**

11. Sect 010150-12, 1.11.5 requires design and installation of an alternative tunnel watering system. Can the USACE provide more info on this?

**Answer: The requested information is documented in Appendix D of the RFP.**

12. Please provide an illustrative schedule for the project if one has been prepared.  
RFP Sections:

**Answer: An illustrative schedule is not available. The Contractor will need to determine means and methods.**

13. On page 9, Section 00113 Submission Address the RFP states that “Electronic submission of proposals will not be accepted” yet on page 10 of 78 there is the header “submittal format” under which it says “ELECTRONIC FORMAT”. Please clarify.

**Answer: The title “ELECTRONIC FORMAT” has been removed from paragraph 2.4 of Section 00113. Proposals shall be submitted in hard copy as discussed in Section 00113.**

14. Also under “submittal format”, the RFP states “Each proposal section shall not exceed 40 pages”. I am not sure what “section” refers to: do you mean that the Technical volume shall not exceed 40 pages and the Price volume shall not exceed 40 pages, or do you mean that each of the factors shall not exceed 40 pages? Please clarify.

**Answer: Yes, the Technical or Price Section is the same as the Technical or Price Volume. The total number of pages applies to each section/volume, not to the total of both the technical or price volumes. Additionally, the total number of pages each section/volume can be has been increased to 50 pages from 40 pages.**

15. In section 4.1.2: Factor 2-Personnel: 4.1.2.1 Submission requirements: Project Manager and Senior Mechanical and Senior Civil/ Geotechnical Engineer requires that they “shall have a degree” but it does not mention inclusion of documentation of those degrees. However, on the personnel resume/experience form, on page 22 under NOTE: item 3 instructs : ”Attach documentation of college degree”. Is attaching the documentation of the college degree a requirement for some, all, or none of the Key Personnel candidates?

**Answer: Attaching the documentation of the college degree is required for all 5 Key Personnel.**

16. Regarding page limits for the Technical Proposal, do the separate documentations such as documentation of full-time employment, letter of intent, and transcripts/diploma count towards the 40 page limit? Could items such as these and past-performance related certificates and letters of recommendation and awards be included in an appendix?

**Answer: Separate documentation such as full-time employment, letter of intents, or transcripts/diploma will not count toward the total page limit.**

17. Did you intend to include a form for Past Performance, such as form A2, or did you just want us to include the Past Performance Questionnaire with Part I completed by us for each of our chosen projects?

**Answer: There are three forms provided in the solicitation. Form A1 CONTRACTOR EXPERIENCE FORM is to be used for Factor 1 (Experience), the PERSONNEL RESUME/EXPERIENCE FORM is for Factor 2 (Personnel) and A3, Past Performance Questionnaire, is to be used for Factor 3 (Past Performance).**

**Please note that the date on form A3 that was posted as a separate document as part of Amendment 0001 has been changed. The date that Past Performance Questionnaires are due is 17 September 2011, not 17 August as previously stated on the form.**

(End of Summary of Changes)