

**Bid Inquiry Technical Evaluators Report
Closed Inquiries**

Project: **CENTCOM MATOC - FINAL**

Review: **PHASE I SOLICITATION**

(sorted by CommentID)

Displaying 146 inquiries.

Id	Discipline	Sheet	Detail	Spec
3517826	Construction Management			00 22 10 paragraph 6.2.1
<p>Your evaluation criteria in section 00 22 10 paragraph 6.2.1 places a high value on proposals that demonstrate experience in Iraq and Afghanistan as the prime contractor. We are concerned that this criterion excludes most of the firms that have successfully executed large projects for USACE in Iraq and Afghanistan as subcontractors. The historical contracting situation in Iraq and Afghanistan is unique. It is common knowledge that a majority of the construction work for many projects in Iraq and Afghanistan has been subcontracted to a single firm through a broker. Many of these subcontractors are very large firms with thousands of employees in country including hundreds of Afghans. Under your current criteria, the experience and performance record of these large very successful subcontractors will not be considered and thus not selected because they were not the prime contractor. The resulting effect will be to reduce competition, reduce CE management control and significantly increase costs for the American tax payer. Request that the criteria be revised to consider highly the experience from subcontractors that have executed over 95 percent of the physical scope for a particular construction project in Iraq or Afghanistan for the Corps. On: 13-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>				
1-1	<p>Government Response. The Government will place a high value on offerors that can demonstrate successful experience serving as the prime contractor for construction projects, because the Government seeks contractors which can be expected to successfully perform in the role of prime contractor. Experience as a prime contractor is not, however, the only experience upon which the government places a high value. Failure to demonstrate experience as a prime contractor on construction projects is not a disqualifier. On: 24-Sep-10</p>			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3517885	General		1.11 PROPOSAL FORMAT b. Page Limitations	
<p>Regarding 1.11 PROPOSAL FORMAT, b. Page Limitations, II. Specialized Experience (Phase One) 15 pages (page 18 of 360 in the RFP), are bidders required to limit the section to 15 pages total (sub, prime contractors, and narrative) or should we make the sub and prime separate sections-each limited to 15 pages? On: 13-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>				
1-1	<p>Government Response. The 15 page limit means a total of 15 pages. It does not mean that offerors may submit 15 pages for the prime and 15 pages for the subcontractor(s). On: 22-Sep-10</p>			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3520170	Construction Phasing	N/A		N/A
<p>According to: W912ER-10-R-0089 Page 43 of 360 ATTACHMENT 4 -PAST PERFORMANCE, Is this attachment (referenced as "sample attachment in Evaluation Factors") required to be filled partially and submitted with the proposal? On: 14-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>				
1-2	<p>Government Response. The text below appends or clarifies ALL previous replies. No, the attachment does not need to be filled out, but the Offeror and the design firm (or Offeror if design is be self-performed) shall provide customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current (or last known) phone numbers, and email addresses on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance. On: 27-Sep-10</p>			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3521250	Security	1		
<p>Will any work require a Facility Security clearance? On: 14-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>				
1-1	<p>Government Response. Contractors must comply with FAR 52.225-19, which requires that contractors comply with force protection, security, health, or safety orders, directives , and instructions issued by the Chief of Mission or the Combatant Commander, etc. RFPs for individual MATOC Task Orders will specify any unique security requirements. On: 23-Sep-10</p>			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3521252	General	1		
Will there be a self performance percentage criteria? On: 14-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes, see FAR 52.236-1, which is contained in the Request for Proposals. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3521258	General	1		
Will PROJNET be updated daily with responses to questions or weekly? On: 14-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The Government intends to post responses to questions as soon as answers are ready. On: 22-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3521264	Contracting			252.225-7001,7036, 7044, 7045
Buy America and Balance of Payment clauses 252.225-7001,7036, 7044, 7045 are part of the basic contract and 252.225-7045 is specifically called out in Sample Projects 1and 2. Please confirm that these clauses apply to Iraq contracts. Additionally 252.225-7001 allows supplies to be purchased from Turkey, but 252.225-7045 does not list Turkey as a designated country from which Turkish construction materials may be procured. Is this an oversight and can construction materials be purchased from Turkey for work in Iraq? On: 14-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Buy America and Balance of Payment clauses 252.225-7001, 7036, 7044, 7045 are contained in the basic contract. Those clauses will apply to task orders for construction in Iraq or Afghanistan, unless the task order contains DFARS 252.225-7023, Preference for Products or Services from Iraq or Afghanistan; DFARS 252.225-7024, Requirement for Products or Services from Iraq or Afghanistan; or 252.225-7026, Acquisition Restricted to Products or Services from Iraq or Afghanistan. See DFARS 225-7703-5(e). Construction materials purchased from Turkey may not be used for construction in Iraq unless they meet the exceptions described in DFARS 252.225-7045(c)(1) or (c)(2). On: 23-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3521276	General	1		
Will this contract be used for the "water resources programs in AOR" per the RE AGC brief dated April 2010 On: 14-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. It is a possibility. On: 22-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3521758	General			5.0 TAB B – FACTOR 1; 6.0 TAB C – FACTOR 2
As currently written, the RFP appears to favor offerors who elect to use an LLC or JV arrangement over those using a prime/sub approach. In providing both the past performance information (5.0 TAB B – FACTOR 1) and specialized experience information (6.0 TAB C – FACTOR 2), an LLC/JV would be able to use the performance and experience from all of its "team" (since the entity has no experience/performance of its own), whereas a prime contractor appears to be limited to only its is own information by the requirement: "If an offeror has multiple divisions or units, limit the projects submitted to those performed by the division, unit or team member submitting the offer. (emphasis added)." 5.1.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS Would USACE consider changing the Past Performance Submission Requirements (RFP 5.1), Exerience Submission Requirements (RFP 6.1), and respective evaluation criteria (RFP 5.2 and 6.2) to align with the definition of "Offeror" provided in the RFP EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (Page 3 of 360) ? "For purposes of this solicitation, an "Offeror" is defined as either a firm or a contractor team arrangement. A "Contractor team arrangement" is an arrangement in which (1) two or more companies form a partnership or joint venture to act as a potential prime contractor or (2) a potential prime contractor agrees with one or more other companies to have them act as its subcontractors under a specified Government contract or acquisition program (see FAR 9.6)." On: 14-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Suggested response: Specialized Experience and Past Performance information will be considered for: (1) any offeror; (2) any offeror's divisions, units, subsidiaries, parents, or predecessors if the referenced division, unit, subsidiary, parent, or predecessor will be performing work under the MATOC; and (3) any of the offeror's team members (to include proposed subcontractors for whom letters of commitment have been provided), if the team member will be performing work under the MATOC. The Government rejects the assertion that the RFP appears to favor offerors who elect to use an LLC or JV arrangement. On: 24-Sep-10			

	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3521759	General		5.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS
<p>Could USACE please clarify a possible conflict between the 5.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS (RFP Page 31 of 360) and the 5.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA (Page 32 of 360) that are both part of the 5.0 TAB B – Factor 1 – Past Performance Submission? Section 5.1 states "If an offeror has multiple divisions or units, limit the projects submitted to those performed by the division, unit or team member submitting the offer." However, Section 5.2 states that for evaluation of the past performance: "If any offeror wishes to submit past performance information of any of its divisions or units, it must submit convincing evidence that the referenced division or unit will be committed to performing work under the MATOC." The instructions suggest that past performance is restricted to the specific division/unit from which the proposal is submitted, while the evaluation seems to permit past performance from other divisions/units. Please clarify which is correct. On: 14-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>			
1-1	<p>Government Response. These are mutually supporting statements and are both correct. Paragraph 5.1 sets the standard, but paragraph 5.2 allows flexibility if offerors provide "convincing evidence". On: 24-Sep-10</p>		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3521839	Architectural		SF1442, Sect.002100, 1.8
<p>Will the pre-proposal conference presentation, questions and answers, etc. be available to those companies that were not able to attend the conference? On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>			
1-1	<p>Government Response. Pre-Proposal Conference Slides can be reviewed at http://www.tam.usace.army.mil/MATOC-2010. All questions from the Pre-Proposal Conference are posted on ProjNet. On: 17-Sep-10</p>		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3521840	Architectural		SF1442, Sect. 002100, 1.8
<p>Is attendance at the pro-proposal conference a requirement for all offerors? On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>			
1-1	<p>Government Response. No. On: 17-Sep-10</p>		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522809	General		1. Section 00 11 00
<p>Executive Summary-"The Government will evaluate the performance capability proposals in accordance with the criteria described in the solicitation and will select a target of fifteen (15) Phase One offerors to compete for the contract award and for the initial task order award(s) in Phase Two of the process." What is the estimated date for the selection of Phase II Contractors? On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>			
1-1	<p>Government Response. To be determined, but the current scheduled Phase Two amendment will be issued on or about 22 December 2010. On: 23-Sep-10</p>		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522810	General		2. Section 00 22 10
<p>Phase One of Two Phase Selection Process; 5.0 Tab B, Factor 1, Past Performance, Submission Requirements- This section refers to Attachment 4 which may be utilized by the government to conduct telephone interviews. Is the contractor required to complete the customer reference information and submit these forms with the proposal for specific project sheets in Tab B? On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.</p>			
1-2	<p>Government Response. The text below appends or clarifies ALL previous replies. No, the specific attachment form does not need to be filled out, but the Offeror and the design firm (or Offeror if design is self-performed) is solely responsible for providing the requested identifying information: customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current (or last known) phone numbers, and email addresses on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance. The forms do not need to be submitted in your proposal. The Government will obtain them from the customers referenced in your proposal. On: 27-Sep-10</p>		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			

3522811	General		3. Section 00 22 10	
Phase One of Two Phase Selection Process; 5.0 Tab B, Factor 1, Past Performance, Submission Requirements- This section has an unlimited page requirement and requires the submission of the customer references on the specific projects sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance. This section also refers to Attachment 4 which may be utilized by the government to conduct telephone interviews. This section also references submittal of information on problems encountered on identified projects and any corrective actions. In addition written consent of the offeror's design firm/key subcontractors and information pertaining to utilization of Small Business in Section 5.1.2 is required. We respectfully request that the COE considers revising the Submission requirements for Tab B Past Performance to incorporate a more defined structure of response and thus streamlining the evaluation between offeror's. Will the COE revise this section to provide clearer direction and a more defined structure for the response? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. It is unclear what is meant by a more "defined structure of response." If the question is clarified, the Government will attempt to answer it, but the Government does not intend to limit its flexibility to consider data obtained from numerous sources as described in the Request for Proposals section 5.2.1. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522813	General		4. Section 00 11 00	
Executive Summary- In Phase Two, the selected Offerors will submit proposals for each of two initial task orders, as described in the phase Two RFP amendment. What are the potential locations of the two initial task orders and will a site walk be required? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The two initial task orders will be in Afghanistan and a site visit will be held for both of them. Offerors do not have to attend the site visit to be able to submit proposals. On: 22-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522815	General		5. Section 00 22 10	
Phase 1 of a 2 Phase-Design Build Selection Procedures- Factor 2- Specialized Experience- Can a single location with multiple projects under the same contract be utilized as an example of the offeror's specialized experience? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522816	General		6. Section 00 22 10	
Phase One of Two Phase Selection Process; 5.0 Tab B, Factor 1, Past Performance, Submission Requirements- "The Government may contact and interview the points of contact provided by the offeror and reserves the right to interview other individuals with relevant information. See the sample questionnaire shown at Attachment 4." Due to extensive turnover of government personnel, in particular past references that currently work for competitors, and a lack of CCASS/ACASS evaluations completed by those government personnel, will the same evaluation weight be given to past performance of a project if the contractor can provide other performance information such as awards, commendations letters, etc? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Section 00 22 10, paragraph 5, describes how the Government will evaluate all past performance information. Specifically, sub-paragraph 5.2.1 shows that the Government will evaluate past performance information in its entirety, as a whole. Each constituent part, such as telephonic interviews, CCASS evaluations, letters of commendation, etc., will make up this whole. Absence of one or more parts, especially if outside the control of the Offeror, will not adversely affect an Offeror's evaluation. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522819	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
For Surety, if guarantee is only say \$20 million, is that a no go? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. For Phase One, surety is addressed in Section 00 22 10, para. 7.1. The bid guarantee is addressed in Section 00 21 00, para. 1.14. On: 17-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				

3522820	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
For Surety, if guarantee is only say \$20 million, is that a no go? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. For Phase One, Surety is addressed in Section 00 22 10, para. 7.1. The bid guarantee is addressed in Section 00 21 00, para. 1.14. On: 17-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522822	General			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Does the Percy Act apply to this MATOC? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The Government doesn't understand the reference. If the question is clarified, preferably with a citation to the U.S. Code, the Government will try to answer the question. On: 23-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522826	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Will US Firms need to be registered in the Country where the Task Order is to be performed? Business registration requires extensive legal services. On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. MATOC awardees and/all of their team members and designers will be required to have, or be able to obtain, country-specific authority to perform in the host country where the task order is to be performed. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522828	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Will there be SOFAs for the countries where work is envisioned to be performed? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. There are anticipated task orders in countries that do have a SOFA with the US, and some in countries that do not. Task Orders may be issued for work in countries where no SOFAs are in place at the time of task order performance. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522829	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
the 72% work in Afghanistan and Iraq seems to be a very precise calculation. Do you have an equally precise calculation of where the remaining 28% will be distributed? Which other countries primarily? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. As shown on Slide 9 of the Pre-Proposal Conference Slide Show, the word "approximately" precedes the amount of 72%, thus indicating the number is not precise. Of the remaining 28%, the anticipated projects are located in almost all other countries in the AOR. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522832	General	RFP		1.12
1. If we are proposing as a JV, where in the Phase I proposal should we provide a copy of the JV agreement? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The Joint Venture agreement should be included in TAB A. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522833	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
The 15 pages limit for the Experience section (Factor 2) , does it include project descriptions or can we add additional information in the Appendix to supplement the Experience Section? Will the questions from the draft RFP be answered? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Please see Section 00 22 10, para. 6.1 and 6.2. We understand "Major Feature" to mean project description. Offerors can address this with as much or as little information as they desire. Please			

	see the draft RFP responses in ProjNet. Industry questions to the Draft RFP, and Government responses, which the Government felt were applicable, were incorporated into RFP W912ER-10-R-0089. Not all contractor questions or recommendations were incorporated. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522835	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
For experience of the designer, if a project is 100% complete for design, but not yet 50% constructed, can this experience be utilized? On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. No. Per Section 00 22 10, paragraph 6.1.2, "Projects cited shall be currently well underway (fully designed and at least 50% construction progress completed)." On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522836	General	Proposal	1.11 PROPOSAL FORMAT Item d
Please verify that an 11 x 17 used for graphics/tables counts as a single page. On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. That is correct. See para. 1.11 d. Necessary charts and graphics may be larger than 8.5" x 11", but no larger than 11" x 17", and will count as one page. On: 23-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522840	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Will USACE MED manage the Task Order RFP via fbo.gov and require special handling such as Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) like the USACE Philadelphia District is doing? On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Generally, Yes. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522844	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Will USACE MED respond to ProjNet questions daily or weekly or as answers come available? On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. As frequently as we possibly can. Rather than waiting to answer groups of questions, the Government intends to post responses to individual questions as soon as answers are ready. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522847	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Phase 2 Proposal: When is the estimated proposal due date in 2011? On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. To be determined, but the current scheduled Phase Two proposal due date is on/about 22 January 2011. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522849	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Please provide instructions to ProjNet access. On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Please see Section 00 21 00, para. 1.5 of the RFP. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522851	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
What will the bonding percentage be 20%? Is it 20% Payment? 20% Performance? This must be defined by Corps of Engineer earliest possible. On: 15-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. To be determined in Phase Two for the two initial task orders, and in the RFP for each subsequent Task Order. However, the requirement for payment bonds will likely always be 100% even		

	where the percentage for performance bond is set lower. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522853	General		1.11 PROPOSAL FORMAT Item e.
Can the font within the header and footer areas be smaller than 12 point (i.e., 8 point like the graphics)? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Offerors shall comply with the Request for Proposal Instructions Section 00 21 00. On: 23-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522855	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
5.1.1 - Would projects located in Afghanistan be viewed as more relevant than projects located in other regions such as the U.S.? 5.1.1 - Would projects located in the U.S. be viewed as less relevant than projects located in the AOR even if both projects are similar building type to the MATOC? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. See Section 00 22 10, paragraph 6.2.2 which sets out the types of experience upon which the Government places a high value. Experience in the US would be considered, but relevance would be determined on a case-by-case base. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522856	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Does the 15 page maximum set for Experience include both Prime and Subs or is it just for Prime Contractor experience submissions? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. See Section 00 22 10, para. 6.1.2. Experience examples may be submitted for consideration on any other member of a Contractor Teaming Arrangement that will perform a major or critical aspect of the project. The 15 page limit applies to the entire submission for Factor 2, regardless of whether the experience is for the prime, for team members, and/or for subcontractors. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522860	General		1.11 PROPOSAL FORMAT Item g. (ii)
Should the page number be included with the volume, section, and date in the right corner or should it be in the bottom left hand corner? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Either method is acceptable. On: 23-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522861	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Please more clearly define what you mean by "Best Value". Best Value for price, for experience, for capabilities or for all of the above? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Best value is defined in FAR 2.101 as follows: Best value means the expected outcome of an acquisition that, in the Government's estimation, provides the greatest overall benefit in response to the requirement. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522865	General		Section 5.1.1, Past Performance
Past Performance states, "the Offeror shall include a letter of commitment for the design firm's past performance to be considered (Attachment 3 . . .)." Should this letter be included in Tab B Past Performance or in Tab A as referenced on Attachment 3? Please advise. On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Provide letters of commitment in Tab B. Section 00 22 10 Attachment 3 will be retitled to say Phase One – TAB B. On: 24-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			

3522867	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
For Phase I, it appears that the odds are stacked against the newcomer and outsider. For example, what if 25 firms made the cut and were compliant with the RFP "shalls", how does the short listing proceed from there by 15? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The Government rejects the contention that any "odds are stacked". Refer to Section 00 22 10, Part 9.3 for description of the evaluation process and rating system. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522868	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
How does the Corps see Security being covered once President Karzai Expels all US and foreign security firms in 3 months? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. In August 2010, President Hamid Karzai announced that private security firms would be phased out of operations and banned in Afghanistan by the end of this year. Whether or not this will actually happen is not known at this time. Accordingly, you should base your proposals on the current - not potential future -status of contracting in Afghanistan. If the use of private security in Afghanistan changes significantly in the future, it will be addressed in change orders for the initial MATOC Task Orders and in RFP's for future affected Task Orders. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522870	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Approximately how many Phase II "invitees" will USACE likely nominate to participate in Part II? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. A target of fifteen (15) Phase One Offerors will move forward to compete for the base IDIQ contract awards and for the initial Task Order award(s) in Phase Two of the process. The Government reserves the right to select more than fifteen (15) offerors, less than 15 offerors, or none at all to move to Phase Two. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522880	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Does the 35% goal for Small/Local business participation apply to 1st Tier Subcontractors only? or does it apply to the percentage of total cost/revenue including suppliers and lower tier subs? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The 35% goal mentioned in Section 00 22 20, para. 6 is applicable to all Subcontracts at all levels. Yes, it does apply to the percentage of total cost/revenue including suppliers and lower tier subs. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522885	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Will details of the (2) Task Orders for Phase II be made public for subcontractors to review? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Not by the Government, however Offerors may do so during Phase Two for the expressed purpose to generate proposals from sub contractors. Appropriate guidance will be included in individual task order RFPs. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522893	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Will the list of firms selected to move to Phase II be made public? Will the AE MATOC or Contract MATOC list be made public? If not, how would a subcontractor identify point of entry or award of prime contracts? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes, the list will be published on FedBizOpps. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				

3522894	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Can you submit the Phase I proposal early? i.e. before 7 October 2010? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes. However, Amendments may be issued at any time which may affect Phase One. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522898	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Has Congress appropriated and allocated all these funds for the MATOC? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. No. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522905	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
This solicitation, if the work in Iraq and Afghanistan small business does not apply. Does \$10,000,000 maximum project that will include me in the bidding? Can you put names of surety? Work in Iraq and Afghanistan need USA Designer? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
2-1	Government Response. See Section 00 22 20, paragraph 6.1.1 which requires all offerors to complete a U.S. Small/Local Foreign Business Participation Plan. The question: "Does \$10,000,000 maximum project that will include me in the bidding?" is unclear, so cannot be answered. The Government will not provide a list of sureties. Designer of Record must meet the requirements of FAR 52.236-25 for all work that will be ordered under this contract, including work in Iraq and Afghanistan. On: 22-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522907	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
5.1.1 Past Performance - How many projects are required by the prime and the designer? Is there a minimum and maximum number of projects for the prime or design? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. No maximum number and the minimum is equal to the number of projects for which an Offeror submits for specialized experience. See Section 00 22 10, paragraph 5.1.1. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522913	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
6.1.2 - Provide a listing of projects - Do these have to be the projects from 5.1.1? Is there a minimum or maximum number of past performance surveys we can submit? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
2-1	Government Response. No, the past performance information is not limited to the projects from 5.1.1. No maximum and the minimum is equal to the number of projects for which an Offeror submits for specialized experience. See Section 00 22 10, paragraph 5.1.1. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522915	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Bidding on all projects - Is there a requirement to bid on all projects or majority of task orders released? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. No requirement, but each Offeror down-selected to Phase Two is required to propose on both initial Task Orders. On: 16-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3522921	Contracting			Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Could you provide a sample Letter of Commitment the surety has to provide? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes. Amendment 001 will be forthcoming and will contain a sample. On: 16-Sep-10			

	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522933	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
When the option of the ILC is used, is the Performance ILC and Payment ILC additive? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Performance bonds and Payment bonds are separate requirements. If a Contractor elects to utilize ILC's as the security for its performance and payment bonds, ILC's must be obtained for each bond. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522941	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Can an ILC be used in lieu of a bid bond on the Phase II task order proposals? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Yes, if submitted in accordance with FAR 52.228-1. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522946	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Is the 20% bid guarantee/3 million required for each task order? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Yes. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522949	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
If selected for Phase II, do we have to submit on both task orders? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Yes. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522953	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
If a task order awarded \$100 million for example, the bid guarantee is \$3 million is this correct? On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Yes. On: 16-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522964	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Is a consortium an acceptable type A partnership? In this type of agreement the individual task orders are awarded to the consortium company responding to the task order and the other members of the consortium only serve in a supervisory role. Not all members of the consortium work in every country but individual members are strong in some of the countries and of course each member has the individual financial capabilities. On: 15-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
2-1	Government Response. The Government will only award a MATOC to a single legal entity, which must be responsible for performance. If the "consortium" is organized into single legal entity which meets the RFP definition of "Offeror," it could be an acceptable type of partnership. "Offeror" is defined in the RFP as "either a firm or a contractor team arrangement. A "Contractor team arrangement" is an arrangement in which (1) two or more companies form a partnership or joint venture to act as a potential prime contractor or (2) a potential prime contractor agrees with one or more other companies to have them act as its subcontractors under a specified Government contract or acquisition program (see FAR 9.6)." The Government could not accept RFP offers from individual consortium members. Offers would have to come from the "Offeror" and awards would have to be to the same single legal entity, not, for example, to a subcontractor or to one joint venture partner. On: 23-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522966	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question

Only surety bonds are accepted for performance and payment bond? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Both performance bonds and payment bonds are required. These bonds can be issued by sureties or, alternatively, can be secured with alternatives listed in FAR 28.204 On: 16-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522972	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Irrevocable Bank Letter of Credit (ILC) or Bank Letter of Guaranty accepted? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. ILC's in accordance with FAR 28.204-3 are acceptable security for bonds. Bank Letters of Guaranty will not be accepted. On: 16-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522976	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Please clarify the bonding or ILC requirements and the extent to which the FAR Clause 52.228-14 will apply regrading federally insured financial institutions. On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. FAR 52.228-14 will apply in all cases in which an Irrevocable Letter of Credit is issued as security for a Contractor's Bond. On: 16-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522983	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Under design creiteria 6.2.1 we want to get clarification on how project experience is being evaluated with respect to subcontractor experience under a cm firm where the former subcontract firm is now wanting to compete for the prime contract roles. On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
2-1	Government Response. Projects demonstrating experience from a former subcontractor will only be considered if that subcontractor has signed a letter of commitment (LOC) to work with the prime if awarded a contract. Additionally, assuming that the design firm has signed a LOC with the prime and also is proposing separately as a prime, that experience project will be evaluated for both offerors. On: 16-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3522994	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Why isn't the 20% off set for US contractors being implemented for the task orders as part of the proposal? On: 15-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. DFARS 236.273 stipulates that Clause 252.236-7010 (section 00 21 00) does not apply to contracts in Afghanistan, where we anticipate the initial Task Orders to be located. On: 16-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3523808	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
X Company (a U.S. based company) is fully owned by Y Company (a non-U.S. based company). Are the references and past experiences from Y Company accepted as such, to satisfy your requirements, knowing that the offeror will be X Company? Y Company will commit as a full partner for the job. On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-2	Government Response. The text below appends or clarifies ALL previous replies. Refer to Section 00 21 00, Paragraphs 5.1.1 and 5.2.1 (Past Performance) and Paragraphs 6.1.2 and 6.2.1 (Specialized Experience). If convincing evidence is provided that an entity other than the offeror will be committed to performing work under the MATOC, that other entity's past performance and specialized experience can be evaluated. If X Company submits a letter of commitment from Y Company, then Y Company's references and project experiences can be submitted for evaluation in addition to -- not in place of -- the references and experiences of X Company. On: 27-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3523811	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question

In the past, US Government and contractors were FAR exempt in performing contraction work in the CENTCOM; however, the Afghanistan tax laws require taxes to be paid on the subs for construction. Will this contract require taxes to be paid to the Afghan Government? If it is required, how is it to be paid and to whom? On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. The question is unclear, so cannot be answered. Please clarify. On: 23-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3523812	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Does \$10,000,000 maximum project that will include me in this bidding. Can you put names of surety? On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. The first part of the question is unclear, so cannot be answered. The second part of the question is no. On: 22-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3523814	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
Work in Iraq and Afghanistan need USA Designer? On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Yes, architects and engineers must meet the requirements of FAR 52.236-25 for all projects regardless of place of performance. 52.236-25, REQUIREMENTS FOR REGISTRATION OF DESIGNERS (JUN 2003), states: Architects or engineers registered to practice in the particular professional field involved in a State, the District of Columbia, or an outlying area of the United States shall prepare or review and approve the design of architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical, civil, or other engineering features of the work. On: 24-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3523815	Contracting		Pre-Proposal Conference Question
5.1.1 - Would projects located in the U.S. be viewed as less relevant than projects located in the AOR even if both projects are similar building types to this MATOC? On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. See Section 00 22 10, paragraph 6.2.2 which sets out the types of experience upon which the Government places a high value. Experience in the US would be considered, but relevance would be determined on a case-by-case base. On: 17-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524090	General	n/a	n/a
Is there any preference between U.S. and foreign companies? On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
2-1	Government Response. U.S. firms will benefit from a preference for work performed in certain countries. IAW DFARS 236.570(c)(1) the government will include DFARS 252.236-7010, OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION - PREFERENCE FOR UNITED STATES FIRMS (JAN 1997) on a task order basis when performance will be in a country bordering the Arabian Gulf (Saudi Arabia, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Bahrain, Qatar, Oman, and The United Arab Emirates (UAE)). No such preference will be applied for task orders to be performed in Afghanistan or other country in the AOR not bordering the Arabian Gulf. On: 24-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524091	General	n/a	n/a
Does the recently passed bill mandating priority to U.S. companies have any influence or regulation over any part of the selection? On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Please identify the recently passed bill. On: 24-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524092	General	n/a	n/a
Is there any influence or regulation regarding the selection of veteran-owned companies? On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			

1-1	Government Response. This solicitation is unrestricted. However, any other regulations regarding preferences will be adhered to as applicable. On: 24-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524093	General	n/a	n/a
Is there any influence or regulation regarding the selection of minority-owned companies? On: 16-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. This solicitation is unrestricted. However, any other regulations regarding preferences will be adhered to as applicable. On: 24-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524096	Security	n/a	n/a
Are there any pre-established security clearance requirements for companies or representatives? On: 16-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. No. If there are any, they will be identified in the RFP for a specific Task Order. On: 24-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524098	General	n/a	n/a
Are there any pre-established physical location requirements? On: 16-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. The Government cannot answer this question as written but will attempt to answer if the author clarifies the question. On: 23-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524101	General	n/a	n/a
What exactly belongs in Tab E? On: 16-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. You must include Representations and Certifications in Tab E. On: 24-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524102	General	n/a	n/a
What are the "Small Business Participation Plan" submittal requirements? On: 16-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. See Section 00 22 20, 6.0 Factor 2 which outlines the submission requirements for the U.S. Small/Local Foreign Business Participation Plan. Please note that this is a Phase Two requirement. On: 23-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524901	General		6.1
Tab C, Factor 2 Specialized experience. As the CENTCOM AOR includes 20 countries which proposers are to cover in their response/proposal, and the form (Attachment C) is two pages long in the RFP, would the government consider allowing 40 pages for the projects/specialized experience (2 pages x 20 countries), and an additional five for the introduction which will include the listing of projects required in section 6.2.1 of the RFP? On: 16-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. No. Nothing in the RFP requires that offerors demonstrate experience in all 20 countries. On: 24-Sep-10		
	Current Request Status: Request Closed		
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3524974	General		00 45 00
Are we to include anything under Tab E in Phase 1 submittal? The RFP seems to indicate that we need to submit representations and certifications, however these forms are in the Phase II portion of the RFP. If we are to include Section 00 45 00 Representations and Certifications in our Phase I submittal, do we also include the other items listed including A.			

Corporate Certificate, B. Authority to Bind Partnership and C. Defense Base Act Insurance? On: 16-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Aside from the Representations and Certifications concerning contractor responsibility found elsewhere in this Solicitation, there are no additional submittal requirements under Phase One. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3525311	Contracting	1.12 Joint Venture, page 19		
Can a Joint Venture be presented in Phase II if a team arrangement was in place for Phase I? Team/Joint Venture members would be the same. On: 17-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Such a procedure is acceptable, provided that the terms of the executed JV Agreement do not materially differ from the terms of the Phase One-disclosed description of the team arrangement. On: 27-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3525331	General	Slide 7		Industry Day Presentation
"MED currently is executing projects in 18 of the 20 CENTCOM AOR countries". What are the two countries MED is currently not executing work in? On: 17-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Syria and Iran. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3525332	General	Slide 45		Industry Day Presentation
The Phase 2 proposal is expected to be out around December 22. What is the expected turnaround time for the Phase 2 submission? On: 17-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. To be determined, but the current scheduled Phase Two proposal due date is on/about 22 January 2011. On: 17-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3526043	Contracting	RFP page 27 of 360	DFARS 252.236-7010	
It is our understanding that for purposes of DFARS 252.236-7010 (Overseas Military Construction-Preference for United States Firms) (Jan. 1997), a joint venture consisting of a U.S. firm and foreign firm is a "United States firm" (as such term is defined in DFARS 252.236-7010) if the U.S. firm of the JV is the controlling partner, with at least a fifty-one percent (51%) financial interest in the JV and such U.S. firm meets the requirements specified in DFARS 252.236-7010. Please confirm. On: 17-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Confirmed. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3526047	Contracting	RFP page 19	para. 1.12 Joint Venture Proposal Requirements	
We understand the requirement to submit a joint venture agreement with the proposal as specified in paragraph 1.12 of the Solicitation. As has been accepted by the U.S. Government on past two-phase solicitations, it is our understanding that for purposes of the Phase 1 proposal we can submit a fully executed joint venture teaming agreement that includes a detailed description of the agreed upon joint venture structure. Upon down-selection for Phase 2, it is our understanding that a fully executed joint venture agreement would then be required with the Phase 2 proposal. Please confirm this is an acceptable procedure. On: 17-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Such a procedure is acceptable, provided that the terms of the executed JV Agreement do not materially differ from the terms of the Phase One-disclosed description of the agreed upon joint venture structure. On: 27-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				

3526373	General		Section 00 22 10 Attachment 4	
Reference Section 00 22 10 Attachment 4 "Past Performance Evaluation/Telephone Interview Questionnaire", this appears to be a form the Government will use to check references on the projects each Offeror submits in their Specialized Experience section. Please confirm that the Offerors do not need to send out Questionnaires to Client POCs to be submitted along with the proposal. On: 17-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. That is correct. Offerors do not need to send out questionnaires to client POCs. On: 23-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527007	Project Management	5.0 TAB B and 6.0 TAB C		5.0 TAB B and 6.0 TAB C
we are a US ,Virginia based Company fully owned by an international company. If we submit as the offeror, will it be sufficient if we use the references and past experiences of our parent company (totally involved in the execution) . Knowing that reference and experience wise we share the same. otherwise you will be favoring JV On: 17-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. See response to question 3523808. Refer to Section 00 21 00, Paragraphs 5.1.1 and 5.2.1 (Past Performance) and Paragraphs 6.1.2 and 6.2.1 (Specialized Experience). If convincing evidence is provided that an entity other than the offeror will be committed to performing work under the MATOC, that other entity's past performance and specialized experience will be evaluated. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527529	General	RFP Page 40 of 360 Attachment 2, RFP Page 18 of 360 Page Limitations		
For TAB C, Factor 2 - Specialized Experience, does the 15 page limit include the "Offeror Specialized Experience" forms included in Attachment 2? On: 19-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527531	General	RFP Page 18 of 360 Proposal Format		
With the 15 page limit in the Specialized Experience Section, can 10-point minimum font size be used instead of 12-point minimum font size? On: 19-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Offerors shall comply with the Request for Proposal Instructions Section 00 21 00. On: 23-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527532	General	RFP Page 31 of 360 Past Performance	para. 5.1.1 Submission Requirements	
In TAB B, Factor 1 - Past Performance, the RFP states, " The Offeror and the design firm (or Offeror if design is be self-performed) shall provide customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current phone numbers, and email addresses on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance," however, the project experience sheets are included in Tab C not Tab B. Please confirm that for Tab B, Factor 1 - Past Performance, we should provide customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current phone numbers, and email addresses for those projects we include in Tab C on the Specialized Experience forms in Attachment 2, or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance. On: 19-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-2	Government Response. The text below appends or clarifies ALL previous replies. See amended response to question 3522810. Tab B is past performance, Tab C is specialized experience. The project information required in Tab B is stated in section 00 22 10, paragraph 5.1.1., and is required in narrative or tabular form, in whatever format the offeror chooses. Tab C information is shown in Attachment 2. On: 27-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527867	Other	W912ER-10-R-0089	W912ER-10-R-0089	W912ER-10-R-0089
Can a company be a team member to more than one prime contractor? Does that conflict with being unequivocally committed? On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes, but the company must be prepared to perform in both capacities if both offerors are awarded contracts. If both offerors were selected for award, this might prompt additional inquiry with regard to the responsibility of both offerors. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527868	Other	W912ER-10-R-0089	W912ER-10-R-0089	W912ER-10-R-0089
Can a company be a member of a prime contractor and a team member to another prime contractor at the same time? On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes, but the company must be prepared to perform in both capacities if both offerors are awarded contracts. If both offerors were selected for award, this might prompt additional inquiry with regard to the responsibility of both offerors. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527869	Other			W912ER-10-R-0089
We have noted that 20% American preference shall not apply all through the process mainly in task orders. Please confirm. On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Correct. DFARS 252.236-7010, OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION - PREFERENCE FOR UNITED STATES FIRMS (JAN 1997) will be included in task orders when performance will be in a country bordering the Arabian Gulf (Saudi Arabia, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Bahrain, Qatar, Oman, and The United Arab Emirates (UAE)). No such preference will be applied for task orders to be performed in Afghanistan or in other countries that do not border on the Arabian Gulf. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527870	Other			W912ER-10-R-0089
Is it possible to use a different version of commitment letter for subcontractors? On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes, as long as the information on Attachment 3 is provided. On: 23-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527873	Other			W912ER-10-R-0089
It is stated in the RFP that the package comprises FFP task orders. Given that more than 70% of task orders will come out for Afghanistan and Iraq and the contractor shall take all risks of a FFP in the war zone. Could we expect any other form of a contract award with the Task Orders? On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. No. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527877	Other			W912ER-10-R-0089
For construction past performances, a rough range is defined (\$20 million or more). Is there any appropriate range for design past performances? On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Design of "relevant types of projects" as described in para. 5.1.1 would be considered relevant. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3527878	Other			W912ER-10-R-0089
Is the use of "experience and past performance" forms format mandatory or can we use our own format? On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				

1-1	Government Response. You may use your own format for experience as long as the information on Attachment 2 is provided. On: 23-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3528067	Contracting	Tab B 5.1.1	Tab B 5.1.1	Tab B 5.1.1
In regards to Tab B 5.1.1 - It states that relevant past performance projects include but are not limited to projects located in geographically disbursed, OCONUS locations. Will projects located in CONUS be considered? Will projects located in CONUS be considered as relevant as projects located in OCONUS? On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. See Section 00 22 10, paragraph 6.2.2 which sets out the types of experience upon which the Government places a high value. Experience in the US would be considered, but relevance would be determined on a case-by-case base. On: 24-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3528070	Contracting	Tab C 6.1.1	Tab C 6.1.1	Tab C 6.1.1
In regards to Tab C 6.1.1 - It states that relevant experience performed in geographically disbursed OCONUS locations. Will projects located in CONUS be considered? Will projects located in CONUS be considered as relevant as projects located in OCONUS? On: 20-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. See Section 00 22 10, paragraph 6.2.2 which sets out the types of experience upon which the Government places a high value. Experience in the US would be considered, but relevance would be determined on a case-by-case base. On: 24-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3529845	General	Experience factor	6.1.2	Experience Submittal Forms
Experience factor paragraph 6.1.2 instructs the offeror to provide a listing of projects and then references Attachment 2 Specialized Experience Submittal Forms. Please clarify whether we may present our project information in a tabular list format, or whether we must use Attachment 2 format. If Attachment 2 is to be used for all projects, this effectively limits the number of projects we may submit to 15 with little room for project descriptions, due to the page limitation. Is this your intent? On: 21-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. See section 00 22 10, Attachment 2, first paragraph, which states "same or similar format". This format allows plenty of room for project descriptions. The Government intent is to receive a one-page submittal, the same or similar to Attachment 2, for each project submitted, up to 15 pages for the Tab C submittal. On: 24-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3529851	General	Experience Forms	Font Size	
The experience form questions are in 10pt font. Please confirm that our responses may also be in 10pt font. On: 21-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Offerors shall comply with the Request for Proposal Instructions Section 00 21 00. On: 23-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3529854	General	Past Performance	Experience Forms	
Please clarify whether we are to complete experience sheets (Attachment 2) for all the projects we provide in Tab B - Factor 1 Past Performance. On: 21-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. See response to question 3522801. The project information required in Tab B is stated in section 00 22 10, paragraph 5.1.1., and is required in narrative or tabular form, in whatever format the offeror chooses. Attachment 2 is under Tab C. On: 24-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3529855	General	Past Performance	Attachment 4	
Are we to include Attachment 4 forms in Tab B - Factor 1 Past Performance for each of our projects with Items 1 - 9 filled				

in? On: 21-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-2 Government Response. The text below appends or clarifies ALL previous replies. No, the attachment does not need to be filled out, but the Offeror and the design firm (or Offeror if design is be self-performed) shall provide customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current (or last known) phone numbers, and email addresses on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance. On: 27-Sep-10				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3529858	General	Executive Summary		
Can we include an executive summary prior to Tab A so we can introduce the team? On: 21-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1 Government Response. Yes, offerors may submit a not to exceed one page "executive summary" in their proposal. However, neither the executive summary nor any of the information referenced within it will be evaluated. On: 24-Sep-10				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3530720	Contracting	5.1.1 Tab B	5.1.1 Tab B	5.1.1 Tab B
For 5.1.1 Tab B, can we include a narrative describing our teaming structure? There is no place in this Phase One submittal that allows us to introduce our team, there it might be confusing when viewing the teams Tabs B & C. On: 21-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1 Government Response. Any narrative describing teaming structure should be reserved for phase two. Offerors may submit a not to exceed one page "executive summary" in their phase one proposals, which will not be evaluated. On: 24-Sep-10				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3530728	Contracting	General Requirements	General Requirements	General Requirements
With the proposal being due in about two weeks and over 10 pages of unanswered RFI's that will drastically change the proposal in regards to teaming relationships and requirements, we are formally requesting a two week extension. On: 21-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1 Government Response. No extension is warranted at this time. On: 23-Sep-10				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3531740	Contracting			past performance and experience
If we used the same projects of factor 1 in factor 2, does this affect the evaluation? or we should have different projects? On: 22-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1 Government Response. It is an offeror's choice as to what projects are to be submitted for Tab B and Tab C. Offerors may use the same or different projects for Tab B and Tab C. Past performance is evaluated differently than specialized experience, as shown in section 00 22 10, paragraphs 5.2 and 6.2. On: 24-Sep-10				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3531760	Contracting			contractor team arrangement
if the contractor team arrangement is a potential prime contractor with 3 other companies as its subcontractor, all we need to submit, is the letter of commitment for each company with our proposal, or you need the agreement document between the prime and the subs as well? On: 22-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1 Government Response. Letters of Commitment will suffice. On: 24-Sep-10				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3531761	Contracting			attached documents
pictures, attached performance evaluation and recommendation letters are evaluated or no? On: 22-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				

1-1	Government Response. All information in an offeror's proposal that conforms to the RFP requirements will be evaluated. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3532710	Construction Management	N/P	N/P	N/P
Q1: Page 31 of 360, Paragraph 5.1. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS, There is a requirement to provide "customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current phone numbers, and email addresses on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance." Also there is a reference to a questionnaire form shown at Attachment 4. Are we strictly limited to fill only the questionnaire and send it with the proposal or can we include other references such as client recommendations, appreciation letters ,etc.? On: 22-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-2	Government Response. The text below appends or clarifies ALL previous replies. No, the specific attachment form does not need to be filled out, but the Offeror and the design firm (or Offeror if design is be self-performed) is solely responsible for providing the requested identifying information: customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current (or last known) phone numbers, and email addresses on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance. The forms do not need to be submitted in your proposal. The Government will obtain them from the customers referenced in your proposal. On: 27-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3532719	Construction Management	N/A	N/A	N/A
Q2: Page 43 of 360, SECTION 00 22 10 - ATTACHMENT 4, How are we supposed to go ahead with filling this form? Are we supposed to fill the questionnaire partially (items 1 to 9) and send the questionnaire to the client/owner to fill in the assessment parts and forward it to you? Or should we send it directly to you? On: 22-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-2	Government Response. The text below appends or clarifies ALL previous replies. No, the specific attachment form does not need to be filled out, but the Offeror and the design firm (or Offeror if design is be self-performed) is solely responsible for providing the requested identifying information: customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current (or last known) phone numbers, and email addresses on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance. The forms do not need to be submitted in your proposal. The Government will obtain them from the customers referenced in your proposal. On: 27-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3532910	General		1.11 Page Limitations	
Regarding 1.11 PROPOSAL FORMAT, b. Page Limitations, II. Specialized Experience (Phase One) 15 pages (page 18 of 360 in the RFP): If the 15-page limit for the Specialized Experience section is to include Attachment 2, Offeror Specialized Experience, we respectfully request that you increase the page limit on this section. On: 22-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The page limit of 15 pages will not change. This page limit was seriously considered and chosen. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3532912	General			Attachment 1 - Proposal Data Sheet
Please confirm that items 1 and 2 on Attachment 1 - Proposal Data Sheet on page 39 of the RFP need to be completed by each of the prime contractor's subcontractors/teaming partners. On: 22-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes. On: 24-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3532915	General			Section 00 45 00 Representations and Certifications

Reference is made to Section 00 45 00 – Representations and Certifications, specifically 252.225-7031 Secondary Arab Boycott of Israel, Representation of Extent of Transportation by Sea, 52.222-18 Certification Regarding Knowledge of Child Labor For Listed End Products. These clauses, and others, require the Offeror to make a certification or representation. The Executive Summary of the RFP states that an "Offeror is defined as either a firm or a contractor team arrangement". When submitting an offer as a contractor team arrangement, are the representations and certifications per Section 00 45 00 being submitted on behalf of the prime contractor only, or on behalf of the contractor team arrangement (meaning the prime contractor and its subcontractors/team members)? On: 22-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. Section 00 21 00 defines "Offeror" as follows: "For purposes of this solicitation, an "Offeror" is defined as either a firm or a contractor team arrangement. A "Contractor team arrangement" is an arrangement in which (1) two or more companies form a partnership or joint venture to act as a potential prime contractor or (2) a potential prime contractor agrees with one or more other companies to have them act as its subcontractors under a specified Government contract or acquisition program (see FAR 9.6)." The Offeror is the sole entity responsible for the representations and certifications. On: 24-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3532920	General			Tab E - Responsibility
----------------	---------	--	--	------------------------

Item b under 8.1 on page 35 of the RFP uses the word "offerors" throughout. Given the definition of offeror in the Executive Summary, is the prime contractor and each of its subcontractors/teaming partners required to provide the information detailed in item b? Is the prime contractor and each of its subcontractors/teaming partners required to certify as to the completeness of documentation? On: 22-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. Section 00 22 10, paragraph 8.1b, as stated, applies to Phase Two of the solicitation. The Phase Two RFP amendment will provide additional information to those Offerors down-selected to Phase Two. On: 24-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3532926	General			7.4 Tab C Required Pre-Award Information
----------------	---------	--	--	--

Item (e) on page 58 of the RFP states "Offeror shall include a statement in its price proposal as to whether the offeror is in Bankruptcy proceedings or not...." Is the statement required from the prime contractor only, or is it required from the prime contractor and each of its subcontractors/teaming partners in accordance with the definition of "Offeror" in the Executive Summary? On: 22-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. Section 00 22 20, paragraph 7.4.1.1(e) applies to Phase Two of the solicitation. The Phase Two RFP amendment will provide additional information to those Offerors down-selected to Phase Two. On: 24-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3532927	General			8.0 Tab E - Responsibility
----------------	---------	--	--	----------------------------

Item b under 8.1 on page 35 of the RFP states "Offerors will be required to certify as to completeness of documentation." What is the format of and language used in the certification as required by the government? On: 22-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. Section 00 22 10, paragraph 8.1b, as stated, applies to Phase Two of the solicitation. The Phase Two RFP amendment will provide additional information to those Offerors down-selected to Phase Two. On: 24-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3532930	General			7.4 Tab C - Required Pre-Award Information
----------------	---------	--	--	--

In reference to item (b) on page 58 of the RFP, our organization's list of commitments is extensive. Can we provide the required information on a certain number of projects - as specified by the government - and then a summary of all other existing commitments including total number of contracts and total dollar value? If this is acceptable, can the government please specify what number of projects? On: 22-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. Section 00 22 10, paragraph 7.4.1.1(b) applies to Phase Two of the solicitation. The Phase Two RFP amendment will provide additional information to those Offerors down-selected to Phase Two. On: 24-Sep-10

Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3532932	General		7.4 Tab C - Required Pre-Award Information
In reference to item (c) on page 58 of the RFP, what is the language the government requires in order to certify the information provided? On: 22-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Section 00 22 10, paragraph 7.4.1.1(c) applies to Phase Two of the solicitation. The Phase Two RFP amendment will provide additional information to those Offerors down-selected to Phase Two. On: 24-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3532935	General		8.0 Tab E - Responsibility
In reference to item a under 8.1 on page 35 of the RFP, is the prime contractor the only entity that is required to provide completed representations and certifications, or are they required to be submitted from the offeror (i.e. from both the prime contractor and its subcontractors/teaming partners as defined in the Executive Summary)? On: 22-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Section 00 22 10, paragraph 8.1b, as stated, applies to Phase Two of the solicitation. The Phase Two RFP amendment will provide additional information to those Offerors down-selected to Phase Two. On: 24-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3532939	General		Phase 2
Can subcontractors/teaming partners be added to an offeror's proposal for the Phase 2 submission that were not included in the offeror's Phase 1 submission? On: 22-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Yes, new subcontractor/ teaming partners may be added, but the subcontractor/teaming partners included in Phase One may not be removed or replaced. On: 27-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3533057	Contracting		FAR 52.244-2 (d)
The FAR clause currently indicates a threshold of \$100,000. This dollar threshold seems low for those Contractors with approved purchasing systems in light of the fact that the simplified acquisition threshold is \$150,000 and the cost or pricing data threshold is \$700,000. This low threshold will place a large burden on Contractors working in the Middle East in light of the data requirements to be obtained from relatively unsophisticated subcontractors. Would the government consider raising that threshold higher? Possibly to \$700,000 consistent with the cost or pricing data threshold? On: 22-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. The FAR clause 52.244-2 (d) will be amended to reflect "any subcontract over \$650,000.00" On: 24-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3533557	Other	Sign in sheets	Preproposal Conference
Will you issue the sign in sheets of preproposal conference? On: 23-Sep-10. Forward request by email.			
1-1	Government Response. Attendees at the pre-proposal conference will be posted in the near future on the web site where attendees pre-registered, http://www.tam.usace.army.mil/MATOC-2010 . Additionally, the roster of registered contractors is available at this same site. On: 24-Sep-10		
Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.			
3535515	General		Contracting
In response to ID 3522880, does the 35% goal for Small/Local business participation apply to 1st Tier Subcontractors only? or does it apply to the percentage of total cost/revenue including suppliers and lower tier subs? The Government Response was : The 35% goal mentioned in Section 00 22 20, para. 6 is applicable to all Subcontracts at all levels. Yes, it does apply to the percentage of total cost/revenue including suppliers and lower tier subs. Can you please confirm this answer? FAR 52.219-9 states "Subcontract award data reported by prime Contractors and subcontractors shall be limited to awards			

made to their immediate next-tier subcontractors. Credit cannot be taken for awards made to lower tier subcontractors, unless the Contractor or subcontractor has been designated to receive a small business or small disadvantaged business credit from an ANC or Indian tribe. On: 23-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. This is a Phase two requirement. However, to clarify, factor two - Utilization of U.S. Small/Local Foreign Business Concerns has two separate and distinct submission requirements, for U.S. large businesses. The first requirement is the U.S. Small/Local Foreign Business Participation Plan which is where the 35% comes into play. The second is the subcontracting plan IAW FAR 52.219-9 which is applicable only to subcontracting performed in the U.S. that is to U.S. Small Businesses at the next-lower tier. On: 24-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3536328	Contracting	Question ID 3522921		
----------------	-------------	---------------------	--	--

In the response to Question ID 3522921, the Government indicates an Amendment 001 will be forthcoming containing a sample of the letter we are to provide from our surety. Does the Government still plan to issue an Amendment 001? If so, will the Government grant an extension of the Phase I proposal due date so we may adequately review and respond to the contents of this amendment? On: 24-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. Amendment 001 should be issued on or about 28 Sep 10. No extension is warranted at this time. On: 27-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3536796	Contracting	8.1.b Submission Requirements		
----------------	-------------	-------------------------------	--	--

Regarding 8.1.b Submission Requirements at page 35 of 360, the request for documentation supporting the Government's responsibility determination appears to be overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seems to exceed the Agency's needs. Such terms as "...any and all documentation..." and "...negatively referenced...", for example, are vague, undefined, and would require the offeror to speculate how to respond. Please define the aforementioned terms and provide further explanation as to how the information would support the Agency's affirmative determination of responsibility. On: 24-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. The requirements in question are to be submitted in response to the Phase Two amendment. By choosing the words, the Government clearly is being inclusive and intends to be broad in the information to be provided so that the Government can make a valid, informed, accurate and appropriate responsibility determination. Offerors shall comply with the requirements as stated and make every effort to provide the Government with any applicable information regarding any negative information, as described. Self-disclosure of any information described is clearly the responsibility of the Offeror. On: 27-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3537226	Contracting			section 00 21 00 para 5.1.1and5.2.1
----------------	-------------	--	--	-------------------------------------

We are have acces to section 00 21 00 . Can you email it or at least gibe us the key to acces it through projnet or send us the CD please. ALYAN Corp. POBox 788 Vienna, VA, 22183 On: 24-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. The Phase One RFP materials are available through the FEDBIZOPPS website at www.fbo.gov as well as the Baghdad Business Center website at <http://www.baghdadbusinesscenter.org/Oldindex.html> and the U.S Army Corps of Engineers Afghanistan Engineer District website at <http://www.aed.usace.army.mil/contracting.asp>. On: 27-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3537520	Contracting	ProjNet Question 3527007	ProjNet Question 3527007	ProjNet Question 3527007
----------------	-------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

In regards to the answer for ProjNet Question 3527007, where do we place the convincing evidence that the entity other than the offeror will be committed to performing work under this MATOC. It doesnt appear there to be any place to include a narrative describing this evidence. On: 24-Sep-10. [✉ Forward request by email.](#)

1-1 Government Response. A letter of commitment from the entity provides the convincing evidence that the entity will be committed to performing the work. Letters of commitment should be included within the proposal at Phase One – Tab B. On: 27-Sep-10

Current Request Status: **Request Closed**

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.

3537782	Contracting	5.0 TAB B and 6.0 TAB C		section 00 21 00
<p>X Company (a US company) is fully owned by Y Company (a non US international Company). Knowing that the offeror is the X (US Company), Can the references and project experience of the Y company committed to performance under the contract be submitted in place of specific references and project experience for the offeror X? On: 24-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.</p>				
1-1	<p>Government Response. Refer to Section 00 21 00, Paragraphs 5.1.1 and 5.2.1 (Past Performance) and Paragraphs 6.1.2 and 6.2.1 (Specialized Experience). If convincing evidence is provided that an entity other than the offeror will be committed to performing work under the MATOC, that other entity's past performance and specialized experience can be evaluated. On: 27-Sep-10</p>			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3538439	General	General		General
<p>We respectfully request an extension to solicitation W912ER-10-R-0089, Multiple Award Task Order Contracts (MATOCs) for Design-Build and Construction projects in the U.S Central Command's (CENTCOM) Area of Responsibility (AOR). Given the very short overall response time (29 days) for such a high-dollar contract, and the fact that answers to questions having an impact on major proposal preparations, teaming arrangements, and surety requirements have not been published as of 25 September 10, we will have difficulty meeting a 7 October 10 submission date. On: 26-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.</p>				
1-1	<p>Government Response. The MATOC was formally announced at an Industry Day on 26 MAR 10. A draft RFP (W912ER-10-R-0011), very similar to the final RFP, was available to industry on 30 APR 10. Based upon this exposure to industry, and the requirements for Phase One, 29 days is still deemed adequate for Offerors to prepare their Phase One proposals. No extension is warranted at this time. On: 27-Sep-10</p>			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3538445	Construction Management	NA	NA	NA
<p>Dear Sir/Madam, We as TPI Housing AB construction contracting company located in Sweden are looking to participate with solicitation no. W912ER-10-R-0089 of Design-Build and Construction Projects in the U.S. Central Command's (CENTCOM) Area of Responsibility (AOR) and we do believe that we are qualified enough to be apart of such project. We are establishing a regional office in Iraq to support the Iraqi infrastructure rebuilding process and have a very qualified network of Iraqi subcontractors. Our question is if we can apply our proposal for this solicitation only for tasks in Iraq? Your soon feeding us back would be highly appreciated. Thanks and best regards. Ghaith H. Alkindy TPI Housing AB On: 26-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.</p>				
1-1	<p>Government Response. No. While there is no requirement to propose on all task orders, every offeror down-selected to Phase Two is required to propose on both initial task orders which are located in Afghanistan. On: 27-Sep-10</p>			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3538774	Contracting	Contract Clauses		War Risk
<p>On August 9, 2010 in solicitation W912ER-10-R-0055 the Corps stated: The Contractor shall be solely responsible for the risk resulting from unforeseen issues that arise from acts of war. The Government will NOT equitably adjust the contract for cost or time under the Changes clause for loss, damage, or destruction of: (1) completed or partially completed work performed under this contract, (2) material, equipment, and/or installations, which are being used or were acquired for use, by the Contractor in the performance of this contract. Will this be policy of the USACE in the Task Orders to be issued under this MATOC? It seems to be a very arbitrary shifting of risk to contractors for USACE to simply refuse to accommodate for losses arising from acts of war over which contractors exercise no control. If contractors follow prudent and approved security procedures but still suffer losses from acts of war committed by the Taliban or other militants, USACE should be willing to assume risk of loss, especially for any impacts to schedule that will inversely effect completion of the project. Will USACE be willing to consider such losses on at least a case-by-case basis and be willing to allow Contractors to recover losses through the Changes clause in the contract? On: 27-Sep-10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forward request by email.</p>				
1-1	<p>Government Response. The solicitation language you refer to in your question is not a part of this solicitation. USACE is not willing to assume risk of loss. However, USACE will consider all properly submitted requests for equitable adjustment under the changes clause. On: 27-Sep-10</p>			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				

3538781	General			Section 00 45 00
In reference to FAR 52.222-18 in Section 00 45 00, the offeror is required to certify to either (c)(1) or (c)(2), however, there are no end products or countries listed in (b). Can the government provide information for item (b), or otherwise provide clarification on the certification requirement? On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The list of end products and countries will be provided in Amendment 1. On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540356	General		Letter of Commitment	
Please confirm where the Letter of Commitment from the Design Firms and Key Subcontractors should appear. This requirement is discussed as part of Tab B – Factor 1 – Past Performance on page 31 of 360, but Attachment 3 says Tab A at the top of the sample Letter of Commitment. On: 27-Sep-10. Requires Amendment. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Tab B. Attachment 3 will be changed to read Tab B in forthcoming Amendment 0001. On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540440	Other			5.1.1
Paragraph 5.1.1 of the RFP states that we are to provide point of contact information "on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance." Those words are then repeated in the many responses to the multiple questions regarding what is required to be provided under Tab B. We respectfully believe that a clarification of what the terms "specific project experience sheets" means. The text as it stands leads the offerors to understand that there is a specific form or sheet that USACE requires us to provide in under Tab B; however, we are unable to locate any "sheet" for this response in the RFP. Furthermore, the wording seems to indicate that these specific experience sheets are separate and distinct from "or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance." Can you please explain what is meant by "specific project experience sheets" and what is intended to be provided on them, how they differ from "other projects that establish past performance," and further confirm that the projects presented in Tab B are in fact any projects that we choose and are not tied in any way to those projects (i.e. do not have to be the same projects) presented in Tab C on Attachment 2. On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-3	Government Response. The text below appends or clarifies ALL previous replies. Specific project experience sheets" references the specified format at Attachment 2, Offeror Specialized Experience which are to be provided under Tab C. "Other projects that establish past performance," are any projects provided under Tab B that are in addition to the Experience projects provided in Tab B. Projects that are submitted for Tab B (past performance) do not have to be the same projects submitted for Tab C (specialized experience). There is no prescribed format for Tab B. On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540454	Contracting			SECTION 00 21 00, 1.11.g.ii
To be compliant with this clause, we are to include a Volume number in the bottom right corner of each page. Unlike Phase Two, there is no volume number specified for the Phase One proposal. Please clarify. On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Label all pages of the Phase One proposals "Phase One, Vol. I". On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540455	Contracting			SECTION 00 21 00, 1.12 Joint Venture Proposal Requirements
Per this requirement, "When proposing as a joint venture, all members of the joint venture shall sign the SF 1442 and the financial surety instrument unless a written agreement by the joint venture is furnished with the proposal designating one firm with the authority to bind the other member(s) of the joint venture." Per Tab D, Factor 3 – Financial Surety requirements, a letter of commitment from an acceptable surety is acceptable proof of the offeror's ability to obtain the required coverage, in which case a signature from the offeror/joint venture members would not be applicable. Please confirm. On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				

1-1	Government Response. If an offeror provides proof of ability to obtain surety from an acceptable surety, then no further documentation of financial surety is required under Phase One. On: 28-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540460	General			Section 00 21 00, Clauses Incorporated by Full Text and Q&A
Clause 52.236-28 states "Proposals must be (1) submitted on the forms furnished by the Government or on copies of those forms, and (2) manually signed." Since some of our teaming partners are in the AOR and the form and content of the letter of commitment and consent may be changing in an Amendment, are electronic signatures valid for these forms? On: 27-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. For Phase One proposals the Government will accept photo copy signatures provided Offeror certifies to their authenticity. The Government will not accept electronic, i.e. digital signatures. On: 28-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540464	General			Section 00 21 00, Clauses Incorporated by Full Text and Q&A
The answer to question 3527878 contradicts Clause 52.236-28, which states "Proposals must be (1) submitted on the forms furnished by the Government or on copies of those forms..." Please confirm that the answer supersedes the clause, or provide clarification in the amended solicitation. On: 27-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Some forms in the RFP mention "in the same or similar format", but many do not. If a form contains the above language, offerors are allowed to provide information in their own format, with the caveat that the information provided by the offeror contains all of the information on the form in the RFP. This was chosen to allow offerors some flexibility and creativity in their proposals. On: 28-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540465	Contracting			SECTION 00 21 00, Clauses Incorporated by Full Text
Page 28 is blank and 252.236-7010 does not have "(End of Provision)". Please confirm that nothing is missing from this clause. On: 27-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The clause is complete. On: 28-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540466	General			SECTION 00 21 00, 5.1.1, Past Performance
Section states: The Offeror...shall provide customer reference name(s), company affiliation, current phone numbers, and email addresses on the specific project experience sheets in Tab B or other projects that establish an offeror's past performance." It is our understanding that specific project experience sheets (Attachment 2) are to be provided in Tab C, so "Tab B" in this sentence is a typo, correct? On: 27-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes, this is a mis-reference. The information you provide on the experience sheets in Tab C may be also be used in evaluating past performance. Additional information for projects that were not submitted under Specialized Experience, Tab C, may be submitted for Past Performance, Tab B. On: 28-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540468	Contracting			SECTION 00 21 00, 5.1.1, Past Performance
Per this requirement, offerors must "submit the written consent of its design firm/key subcontractors to allow the disclosure of the design firm/key subcontractor's past performance information to the Offeror." May this consent be included in the Letter of Commitment? On: 27-Sep-10. ✉ Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Yes. On: 28-Sep-10			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				

3540471	General			SECTION 00 21 00, 5.1.2, Past Performance in Complying with FAR 52.219-8
If submitting as a joint venture, should only the joint venture submit its past performance on utilization of small businesses? On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Per 5.1.2. all Offerors shall submit information substantiating the offeror's past performance in complying with FAR 52.219-8. For purposes of this solicitation "Offeror" is defined in the executive summary on page 3 of the RFP. On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540473	General			SECTION 00 21 00, 6.1.2
This paragraph states that the "Offeror shall provide a listing of projects delineating the project name, major feature (building, runway, road, etc.), overall contract value (US dollars), overall contract value the entity was responsible for (dollars or percent), location of project site (country, nearest city), and contract duration (calendar days)." It also refers to Attachment 2 for the Specialized Experience Submittal Forms. Are both a listing of projects and the Attachment 2 forms required for all projects provided within this 15-page section? On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The proposal for Tab C should be on a form exactly the same, or similar, to Attachment 2. No listing is required. In the upcoming amendment, Section 6.1.2. will be reworded to state: "Offeror shall provide information about projects..." On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540474	General			Question and Answer 3531740
The answer to question 3531740 seems to contradict the answers provided in 3522907 and 3522913, for example. Please confirm that if a project is provided in Tab C, that past performance information must be provided in Tab B. On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. Projects that are submitted for Tab B (past performance) do not have to be the same projects submitted for Tab C (specialized experience). There is no prescribed format for Tab B submissions. On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540478	General			Question and Answer 3529845
The answer states that for Tab C, "The Government intent is to receive a one-page submittal, the same or similar to Attachment 2, for each project submitted..." Attachment 2 is about 1.25 pages in 10 point font. When we increase that font to 12 point, it increases the form to over 1.5 pages, without any data being completed, so it doesn't appear to be realistic to complete this form within one page. Please clarify. On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The Government expects offerors to limit each project submittal to one page of 12 point font for ease in evaluating all proposals. Offerors must follow the guidance provided in section 00 21 00, especially paragraph 1.11. Offerors do not have to include all of the header information on the sample Attachment 2 shown in the RFP. The sample Attachment 2 also contains many blank lines, etc. that are not necessary in the offerors submittal. On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540531	General			Evaluation Criteria 6.2.2
Under Evaluation Criteria 6.2.2 , would Construction projects for NATO and/or NAMSA carry the same weight as projects for the United States Government? On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				
1-1	Government Response. The evaluation criteria in section 00 22 10, paragraph 6.2.2 indicates nine separate areas on which the Government places a high value, and only one mentions construction projects for the US Government. On: 28-Sep-10			
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
3540899	Other	54	6.1.1	
Hi, If I am located outside the US and planning to bid for projects in the middle East and to be consider for phase 1 in this solicitation, do I need to meet section 6.1.1 Akeel Al-Kawthar On: 27-Sep-10. Forward request by email.				

1-1	Government Response. Under Section 00 22 10, Phase One procedures, all Offerors must comply with the submission requirements for specialized experience including paragraph 6.1.1. Under section 00 22 20, Phase Two, all Offerors are required to complete a U.S. Small/Local Foreign Business Participation Plan. On: 28-Sep-10
	Current Request Status: Request Closed
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.	

Report Complete

There are currently a total of [406](#) users online as of 04:25 PM 28-Sep-10.
SM property of ERDC since 2004.

Questions and comments to Call Center staff@rcesupport.com, 1-217-367-3273 or 1-800-428-HELP (4357)

Classified information is NOT permitted on this site. Do NOT share your ProjNet password.