

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 5	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0008	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 21-Dec-2009	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY AFGHANISTAN DISTRICT NORTH (AEN) US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS OPERATION ENDURING FREEDOM APO AE 09356	CODE W5J9JE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W917PM-09-R-0112	
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 20-Oct-2009	
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT /ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE			FACILITY CODE		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT /ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) W9178PM-09-R-0112, Amendment 0008 - AED MATOC North The purpose of this amendment is to post the questions (and answers) submitted to this date. See continuation sheet(s) for details.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 21-Dec-2009	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

(End of Summary of Changes)

The following items are applicable to this modification:QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

1. The work is described as "Design-Bid-Build" and "Site Adapt" throughout the solicitation. Is there any "Design-Build" work anticipated or will all task order RFPs be accompanied with completely designed facilities and infrastructure and the only design work by the contractor would be to possibly adapt the design to a particular site? If so, will the Government's designer be the designer of record for all projects.

There is a probability that design build requirements will be issued under this MATOC, however they will not be the majority of the work. The 1335 site adapt/Design bid build is included for the seed project.

2. Will the Government consider extending the proposal due date?

The proposal date has been extended to 4:00 PM Local Kabul time on 31 December 2009.

3. Are the "standard sections" that were added to the current AED MATOC by Modification P00001 going to be added to this solicitation and should we consider those requirements when preparing our proposal? In particular, will Section 01335, Submittal Procedures for Design-Build Projects, be applicable to this contract?

Refer to question 1

4. Section 00110, Para 10, Factor 2 states offerors should submit 3-5 projects but the Past Performance Assessment Sheet, Attachment 2 says to submit 5-10. Which is correct?

Section 00110, Para 10, Factor 2 and Attachment 2 both now read to submit read 3-5 projects.

5. In the Pre-Proposal Conference Slides, Factor 3 indicates in the security plan that we need to address all the items in Section 01040. There is no Section 01040 in the solicitation. Please provide this section.

Section 1040 is in the RFP for the seed project

6. At the Pre-Proposal Conference a question was asked if the ID/IQ would include "Afghan First" as a requirement. USACE AED said not officially but that they "encouraged" it because it was very important and the issue would be considered during the evaluation. Can you please clarify if this is true and if there is an evaluation criteria or RFP requirement stating this?

"Afghan First" as prescribed by FAR 225.700 is not a requirement. However, in an effort to increase the skill sets of the Afghanistan workers there are evaluation factors that require the Offeror to demonstrate how they will promote the education and skills development of Afghan workers.

7. Section 8. Tab E: Factor 1; Relevant Specialized Experience, Page 11 of 73, "Of the projects submitted, at least one (1) must be valued at over \$50 Million US..." and 5. Tab E: Factor 1; Relevant Specialized Experience Evaluation Criteria, Page 21 of 73 states, "An unsatisfactory rating will be received if an Offeror does not provide projects that meet the following criteria: one project over \$40M..."

The project value has been decreased to \$30 Million US.

8. Attachment 2 Past Performance Assessment Sheet says to "List the relevant criteria associated with this project in accordance with paragraph 4.1.2, however, there is no section 4.1.2. Can the government please clarify what specific relevant criteria it is referring to?"

Attachment 2 does not reference 4.1.2.

9. For the sample problem Factor 1, Offerors are required to discuss how construction project milestones are going to be met. Section 00150 defines a three part design process to include a pre-design meeting, a 65% design submittal and a 95% design submittal. Paragraph 4 of the same section lays out a schedule that indicates design submittal due 30 days after contract award. Is that the due date for the 65% or the 95% submission?

95%

10. Paragraph 4 states, "The following is an internal design schedule and is subject to modification by the Offeror to suit their particular method of operation. Overall time constraints are required and cannot be changed except by contract modification." The paragraph indicates the "Total Design and Construction Period" is 353 days. Is this 353 day requirement the "Overall time constraint" that cannot be changed? Is the design submittal due date of 30 days "subject to modification by the Offeror"?

353 is the "overall time constraint that cannot be changed"

11. Regarding Design Submittals breakdown: a) there are two sets of Design Submittals mentioned in Amendment 0003, on page 36 and page 168. Which Design Submittals break down supersedes the other? b) If design submittals breakdown on pg. 168 supersedes that on pg. 36, does para.1.2.1.1 second sentence, "This work shall be completed not less than 60 days..." should mean, "...not MORE than 60 days." given the short duration of this project? Please clarify.

Correct "not more than 60 days"

12. If submitted with the Sample Task Order, what is the page limit on the project schedule?

If submitted under the technical factor the requirement is no more than 10 pages for all of factor 3 requirements.

13. For the Sample Task Order, SOW Para 3.1 states the site is a maximum 75 by 75 meters with a maximum 300 meters of fence. However the site survey for Musahi indicates a 160 by 180 meter site with four existing buildings on it and the survey for Kohsen indicates a 100 by 100 meter site with one incomplete building on it. Does the Government want a wall longer than 300 meters around the sites as defined in the site survey and for the larger sites to be developed?

No, the contractor will build according to the 75m by 75m requirement within the given ROE

14. For the Sample Task Order, SOW Para 3.5 states that all existing structures and buildings are to be demolished. Does the Government want the existing buildings demolished or tied into the new utility and roadway / walkway infrastructure?

Existing building will be demolished and the KTR will follow the requirements of the RFP for utilities and infrastructure ...information provided in the Site assessment is for information only

15. We are confused in the MATOC for the South and the north due to the difference in naming and at the same time the amendments that came up as replacing the old solicitation. Can you clarify more please and identify the difference between W5J9JE-10-R-0002 and W917PM-09-R-0112 although W5J9JE-10-R-0002 has come to AED website as amendment 0003 for W5J9JE-10-R-0002 which stated that it replaces W917PM-09-R-0112 and all its amendments and at the same time W917PM-09-R-0112 has new amendments on the site? Is W917PM-09-R-0112 for North MATOC and W5J9JE-10-R-0002 for South MATOC?

W917PM-09-R-0112 is the solicitation for northern Iraq. W5J9JE-10-R-0002 is for southern Iraq. The amendments for one do not affect the other. The numbers are not interchangeable. These are two separate solicitations. W5J9JE-10-R-0002, Amendment 0003 states that it replaces the original solicitation and all amendments. At the time of issue of amendment 0003, only the original solicitation and amendments 0001 and 0002 to that solicitation were in existence so they would be the only ones affected. It cannot affect future amendments.

16. I understand that there is a requirement for at least one \$40 million project. We currently are handing over the \$68M project that is comprised of a Phase I and II (each have a separate contract number). Phase I is \$39.2M and Phase II is \$28.8M that we have executed concurrently. My question is will this meet your minimum requirement?

A total MATOC dollar value will not work to satisfy the project level (\$30 million) requirement. A single task order would have to be over \$30 million. From your below message, the \$39.2 million contract will work if that single contract or task order is for that amount.

17. What kind of information is required for "Procuring activity" & "Procurement point of contact and telephone number" provided in attachment 2, experience information form?

The information you are requesting is in Section 00110, MATOC-BEST VALUE PROPOSAL PERPARATION. It states:

Past performance refers to the quality of recent project experience from the owner's perspective. The Offeror shall complete and provide a Past Performance Assessment Sheet on three (3) projects, but no more than five (5) projects. The submission of each Past Performance Assessment Sheet shall not exceed five pages. The Offeror shall provide clear and adequate information in response to the past performance elements identified on Attachment 2. Though not required, ideally project past performance information submitted under this factor would be on the same projects submitted for consideration under Factor 1. If any firm has multiple functions or divisions, limit the project examples to those performed by the division, unit or team member submitting the offer. Projects included on the Past Performance Assessment Sheets shall be successfully completed, or substantially completed (fully designed and at least 50% construction progress completed) within five (5) years preceding the date of this solicitation. The Government will call and confirm information provided by the offeror on the Past Performance Assessment Sheet with the points of contact.

The "procuring activity" would be the name of the organization that was awarded the contract/purchase order for the project that you submitting for your past performance, hopefully the same projects you submit in Factor 1, Relevant Specialized Experience. The "point of contact and telephone number" is the person and their contact information that we would call to confirm you performance on the project submitted for past performance.

18. Can we present one program which comprises several projects, as one experience information?

As stated in the solicitation, "Of the projects submitted, at least one (1) must be valued at over \$30 Million US and the other projects..." This means that projects, not programs, will be required that meet the rest of the solicitation requirements.

19. The document allow for a JV. Will a "Teaming Agreement" between two companies who want to combine their strengths, be an equal to a JV for Past Performance/Experience?

Government will recognize the integrity and validity of contractor team arrangements; provided, the arrangements are identified and company relationships are fully disclosed in the offer". Contractor Team Arrangements will be evaluated on a one-by-one basis according to the information in the proposal. There is no "equality" with a JV.

20. It is indicated that; "Of the projects submitted, at least one (1) must be valued at over \$30 Million US and the other projects must be at least \$5 Million within US DOD's CENTCOM or AFRICOM area of authority including: Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Iraq, Pakistan etc." at the Amend.04 of W917PM-09-R-0112 project. Could you please send the list of all US DOD's CENTCOM or AFRICOM countries that will be accepted by the government?

CENTCOM: Afghanistan, Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kuwait, Kyrgyzstan, Lebanon, Oman, Pakistan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, U.A.E, Uzbekistan, Yemen

AFRICOM countries are all 53 countries of Africa.

21. Will communication system be provided in the ANP District Headquarters Compound Project?

Telephone and data outlet details are given on Drawing SE-4 however installation locations, communication plan and connection the compound's communication system to the local network are not specified in the Specifications.

Please provide details information for communication system.

Communications will be addressed separately. The contractor is only required to provide what is specified in the RFP. Basically just making sure the infrastructure is there for another agency to provide the communications.